

---

**From:** Scheibe, Mark  
**To:** James.Ryan@dot.gov  
**Sent:** 8/6/2009 8:47:15 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu

Thanks.

With regard to Item 4, a preview on the cost-effectiveness recalculation -- don't be surprised when you see the cost-effectiveness improve. The Jacobs numbers increase our vehicle cost by \$37M, increase escalation by \$132M and decrease contingency by \$52M. Since the annualized capital cost doesn't include escalation, the cost that's being annualized will actually go down by \$15M.

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, August 06, 2009 8:36 AM  
To: Scheibe, Mark; Emerson, Donald  
Subject: RE: Honolulu

Here's some feedback on the various questions we've discussed.

1.  
Assume \$1.55 billion as the maximum New Starts amount.
2.  
Recognize that the 5307 funds diverted from the bus program are already a source of concern at FTA. The administrator said that explicitly in Tuesday's meeting with the mayor. Diverting even more won't be helpful.
3.  
If GET collections are above the assumptions in the May 2009 financial plan, you might want to show that to increase comfort levels regarding the GET revenue stream.
4.  
The \$116M is part of FTA's understanding of the capital cost of the project. So, it needs to be used everywhere in the templates, including in the calculation of cost-effectiveness, and in the FEIS. We also need the SCC cost estimate to include the \$116M.

If you want to discuss any of this, I'm telecommuting again -- 703-754-2576.

---

From: Scheibe, Mark [mailto:Scheibe@pbworld.com]  
Sent: Wed 8/5/2009 6:13 PM  
To: Ryan, James (FTA)  
Cc: Emerson, Donald  
Subject: RE: Honolulu

Jim,

One other thing I'll do so you have it in case the question comes up -- I'll re-do the cost effectiveness template using the Jacobs cost number.

And a question we didn't discuss but probably need a decision on -- what costs do we show in the FEIS, Honolulu's or Jacobs'?

Mark

-----Original Message-----

AR00131193

From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov]  
Sent: Wednesday, August 05, 2009 9:34 AM  
To: Emerson, Donald; Scheibe, Mark  
Subject: RE: Honolulu

I guess that "right away" doesn't include 59 minutes later. I've been writing comments on the KC AA final report(!) and not looking at e-mail for the last couple of hours.

I'm at home and would be happy to talk to you guys. I have a 4pm EDT conference call with Phoenix, so either before or after that.

703-754-2576.

---

From: Emerson, Donald [mailto:Emerson@pbworld.com]  
Sent: Wed 8/5/2009 2:36 PM  
To: Ryan, James (FTA)  
Subject: Honolulu

Jim,

Can you call in to 888-742-8686, 3784294# right away? Mark and I would like to ask a quick question on financial plan.

Don

Donald J. Emerson  
Principal Consultant

Parsons Brinckerhoff  
303 Second Street, Suite 700N  
San Francisco, CA 94107

Office Phone: 415-243-4611

Email: emerson@pbworld.com

---

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

---

AR00131194

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.