

---

**From:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**To:** Bell, John (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA)  
**CC:** Luu, Catherine (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); James, Aaron (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); Nutakor, Chris (FTA)  
**Sent:** 7/9/2009 7:27:47 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs

John,

The contracting approach has nothing to do with the NEPA review. I was using it as an example of how Booz dropped the ball since the reduced set of options came up in yesterday's meeting.

Jim Ryan could weigh in if he wants but the NEPA review has been the responsibility of the Region.

Let's not keep going back and forth, can we use Jacobs?

Ray

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bell, John (FTA)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 09, 2009 9:47 AM  
**To:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA)  
**Cc:** Luu, Catherine (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); James, Aaron (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); Nutakor, Chris (FTA)  
**Subject:** RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs

Raymond

How are review comments on the FEIS going to get at the project contracting approach that has work begin in an area that is illogical because it does not create an MOS?

Has the Tam leader, Jim Ryan, concurred with your recommendation for Jacobs to review the FEIS?

John G Bell  
US Department of Transportation  
FTA Office of Program Management, TPM-20  
1200 New Jersey Avenue, Suite E46-330  
Washington, DC 20590  
202 366-4977  
Fax 202 366-3394

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 09, 2009 11:09 AM  
**To:** Bell, John (FTA)  
**Cc:** Luu, Catherine (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); James, Aaron (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)  
**Subject:** RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs

John,

If you were yesterday's meeting with the Administrator then you heard how the plan for construction would have work begin in an area that is illogical. Booz Allen rubber-stamped this contracting approach for 2 plus years and now the situation is more difficult for FTA.

I would prefer to have Jacobs for the review. Please look into this so that we may commence with this review as soon as possible.

Thank you,

Ray

-----Original Message-----

From: Bell, John (FTA)  
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 7:44 PM  
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
Cc: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); James, Aaron (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)  
Subject: RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs

Raymond

The region can assign this work to the assigned PMO, Booz-Allen.

We can agree, there is no need for two PMO reviews of the same FEIS.

If the work is beyond the ability of Booz-Allen, I will discuss Jacobs assignment without Booz-Allen involvement with TPM management.

Have your evaluations reflected your confidence in the work of Booz-Allen?

I was in the todays meeting with the Administrator; needing two PMOCs to do this work was not presented by region 9.

John Bell  
FTA TPM-20  
202 366 4977

-----Original Message-----

From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
Sent: Wed 7/8/2009 7:05 PM  
To: Bell, John (FTA)  
Cc: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); James, Aaron (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)  
Subject: RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs

Hi John,

The time is now for review of this FEIS. Honolulu will be in PE soon which will allow the issuance of the FEIS. It is appropriate to conduct this review now. Kim can brief you on how this has been the subject of numerous EMT meetings including one today with the Administrator.

Also, I listened in to a recent meeting between the Administrator and the Mayor of Honolulu where the Administrator assured the Mayor how the FTA would work to meet Honolulu's schedules while noting his concern about FTA needing to do its due diligence because of the threat of litigation on this project. We are concerned about litigation so we want our best review on the FEIS.

It is normal operating procedure to have the PMOC assist with the review of the NEPA document. This review is limited to the review of the scope for the build project, construction methodology, construction impacts, construction mitigations and schedule. Jacobs has recently completed an intensive cost review and it is my opinion that Jacobs seems to be better aware of the issues and would be able to provide a better response. If we can only afford one PMOC to this, I would prefer to have Jacobs review the FEIS for construction issues rather than Booze Allen.

Please reconsider and put Jacobs on this review.

Thanks,

AR00133270

Ray

---

From: Nguyen, Kim (FTA)  
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 2:30 PM  
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
Cc: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)  
Subject: FW: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs and BAH

Ray,

Please see the email below from John regarding the request for Jacobs to review the administrative DEIS. I have just received the notification that the FEIS has been posted on their website (ProjectSolve).

Thanks,

Kim

---

From: Bell, John (FTA)  
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 5:22 PM  
To: Nguyen, Kim (FTA)  
Cc: James, Aaron (FTA)  
Subject: RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs and BAH

Kim

Based on our discussion I cannot approve of adding additional scope to the Jacobs risk work in Honolulu.

The region could direct BAH in the effort to review and comment on the Administrative Final EIS.

My opinion based on the e-mails, is that this is a premature use of PMO resources and budget.

In PE is the appropriate time to consider environmental documents.

If the new start team, including TPE makes the request because of TPE's need for assistance then BAH providing the review is justified.

AR00133271

John G Bell

US Department of Transportation

FTA Office of Program Management, TPM-20

1200 New Jersey Avenue, Suite E46-330

Washington, DC 20590

202 366-4977

Fax 202 366-3394

---

From: Nguyen, Kim (FTA)  
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 4:38 PM  
To: Bell, John (FTA)  
Subject: FW: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs and BAH

John,

See the request below from Ray Sukys. Please note that he only requests the PMOCs to review a small section of the DEIS pertaining to the build alignment on scope, cost, schedule, construction methodology, construction impacts and construction mitigations.

I just want to clarify my earlier conversation with you regarding this request.

Thanks.

---

From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 3:44 PM  
To: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA)  
Cc: Matley, Ted (FTA)  
Subject: RE: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS - Jacobs and BAH

We would like to have BAH and Jacobs review the admin DEIS and provide comments by July 29. Specific comments should come in the following manner: Page number, paragraph, comment.

General comments can be written in paragraph form. We don't want to receive a report, just comments. They should review the build project scope, cost, schedule, construction methodology, construction impacts and construction mitigations. Have them look at the build alignment to see if that is consistent with their reviews. If they notice something else tell them let us know.

Ray

---

AR00133272

From: Luu, Catherine (FTA)  
Sent: Wednesday, July 08, 2009 12:20 PM  
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)  
Subject: Review HI-Administrative Final EIS

Ray and Ted,

Please let me know if you want Booz Allen Hamilton (BAH) to review the subject email. I cannot task the Risk Assessment PMOC- Jacobs to review the doc because HQ (Kim Nguyen) is the TOM of Jacobs.

If you do want BAH to review the document, please let me know 1) which portion of the document that you want BAH to review ( e.g. construction or whole document). 2) Also, please let me know when do you want BAH to complete the review.

Thanks

Cathy