

---

**From:** Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)  
**To:** Day, Elizabeth (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA)  
**CC:** Ryan, James (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA)  
**Sent:** 6/30/2009 6:42:00 AM  
**Subject:** RESENT: RE: Honolulu on Wed NS mtg agenda?

I have added the summary points to the draft report at the end of this e-mail.

We should maybe have a smaller conference call between a few of us today including Kim Nguyen, you and region IX. We have the cost risk report draft from Jacobs. While in Honolulu the grantee had accepted during the exit briefing that even an increase of \$200 million would be acceptable. The final draft from Jacobs pegs the increase at \$116 million so the total YOE cost of \$ 5.288 billion after the risk assessment should not be an issue. That report was sent to all in FTA. We do need to send it to the grantee for formal acceptance. I am awaiting word from Kim and TPM and Leslie before transmitting it to the grantee. The PMOC has also recommended that Honolulu has completed all other technical requirements for entry into PE. The big question is the federal share of \$1.55 that you mention and whether you want to proceed with a new financial review by the FMOC before entertaining entry into PE. There has been some talk of a minimum affordable segment which I will leave up in the air.

#### SUMMARY OF DRAFT REPORT SUBMITTED BY JACOBS

Kim – Attached for your review is the WORKING DRAFT of the Honolulu Spot Report. A few notes:

- This version includes an update to all sections with the exception of PG-32A – Transit Capacity, which we are still developing. Because of the substantial new information relating to operations and ridership that was identified in the PB's Fleet Sizing Report, the update to the PG-32A section required significantly more effort than other sections of the Spot Report. We will have this section available for review mid-week. It should be noted that our preliminary results indicate that the fleet size of 76 vehicles identified in the Fleet Sizing Report will be sufficient to support the initial ridership.
- I have added a section in the report and Executive Summary that explains the differences between the Salt Lake Alternative budget and the Airport Alignment budget.
- I revised the Executive Summary so that it is more concise than the earlier report.
- We addressed the City's earlier review comments as best we could. Frankly, there are some PMOC opinions that may continue to be a source of disagreement with the City.
- Cost – Current budget is \$5.172 billion (YOE). Based on the cost risk and contingency analyses completed, the PMOC concludes that the Total Project Budget at this phase should be \$5.288 billion (YOE), an increase of \$116 million. This total includes \$1.219 billion (YOE) contingency or 31.8% of the Adjusted BCE.
- Schedule – Current planned ROD is March 2019. The Schedule Risk Assessment indicates there is an 85% probability of achieving ROD by August 13, 2019, which is a delay of approximately five (5) months from the City's planned ROD. Based on the current MPS and the results of the schedule risk analysis and contingency analysis, the PMOC recommends a project completion date (ROD) no earlier than August 2019.
- The PMOC is of the opinion that the Project scope, schedule, and budget are sufficiently developed to allow the Project to advance into the PE phase.

Nadeem S. Tahir, P.E., CCM.  
Director, Office of Program Management and Oversight  
U.S.D.O.T. Federal Transit Administration, Region IX  
201 Mission Street, Ste 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105  
415-744-3113 (w), 415-264-3316 (c), 415-744-2726 (fax)

---

**From:** Day, Elizabeth (FTA)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 30, 2009 8:28 AM  
**To:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA)  
**Cc:** Ryan, James (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA)  
**Subject:** Honolulu on Wed NS mtg agenda?

Jim Ryan is on vacation so I am unable to ask him the status of the Honolulu project. I was out of the office at the APTA conference when TOA met with the Mayor to discuss the project. Did TOA convey any information with regard to whether FTA will accept the \$1.55 billion NS share? Has FTA decided whether we are going to ask Honolulu to raise the capital cost estimate to meet what the PMOC suggested? Should these items be discussed tomorrow so that we can come to resolution with regard to processing the PE request? Please make sure this email gets to all appropriate team members as I may have left some off inadvertently.

Thanks,

Beth