

---

**From:** Scheibe, Mark  
**To:** Jim Ryan (james.ryan@dot.gov)  
**Sent:** 5/14/2009 8:08:24 AM  
**Subject:** FW: Honolulu Risk Assessment Workshop (Part II) June 2nd thru 4th, 2009  
**Attachments:** Memo re Fleet Size.doc; RE: Transit Capacity Questions; Response Codes for draft Jacobs Spot Report.; Review of Jacobs Spot Report; Review of Jacobs Spot Report; Transmittal of Jacobs-FTA PMOC Risk Assessment Final Draft Spot Report-December 2008

Jim,

You may be know about this from your internal sources, but if not, here's information relating to upcoming meetings with FTA here in Honolulu.

Mark

---

**From:** Simon Zweighaft [mailto:Zweighaft@infraconsultllc.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 14, 2009 7:52 AM  
**To:** 'Hamayasu, Toru'; 'Kurio, Phyllis'; 'Miyamoto, Faith'  
**Cc:** Harvey Berliner; Wes Mott; Van Epps, James; Scheibe, Mark  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Risk Assessment Workshop (Part II) June 2nd thru 4th, 2009

In preparation for the upcoming meetings with FTA and Jacobs, please find the attached materials.

1. Our consolidated comments on the Jacobs' Spot Report.
2. Our responses to Jacobs' proposed dispositions to our comments
3. Tom Parkinson's review of Jacobs analysis of our fleet requirements
4. The original internal memo on fleet size which was transmitted to FTA
5. Correspondence from Tim Mantych on the fleet size issue.
6. FTA's December transmittal to us of the "Final Draft Spot Report" (I sent you the spot report itself a couple of days ago)

The key document and latest update on where we stand is probably in Document #2 where we provide our responses to Jacobs' dispositions. In the transmittal of this information I noted to Nadeem that "It is our position that we have provided Jacobs with adequate information on our planned schedule and fleet size, up to and including Tom Parkinson's review of their TCRP #100 analysis of our fleet size requirements. We have adequately demonstrated the reasonableness of our assumptions about fleet size for the purpose of entering preliminary engineering and that we should not have to do another comprehensive report on the subject." In this report we noted that we could accept 88 of their responses, wished to have some discussion on 54 of their responses and objected to 28 of their responses. Of these 28 unacceptable responses, 22 pertained to the vehicle fleet size issue. At the time this was written, we thought that the report would remain a draft and not be publicly released. Now that it is likely to be publicly released, I think we must reassess our prior position in order to make sure that there is not a possibility for misinterpretation or misrepresentation of the facts in the media due to the phrasing used by Jacobs in their comments.

If anyone can think of other materials from my files which you need in preparation for the meetings on June 2,3, and 4, please let me know and I'll send it to everyone.

Jim and Mark, would you please send this information on to others at the GEC who will be participating in these reviews and/or meetings.

---

**From:** Simon Zweighaft  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 12, 2009 11:36 AM  
**To:** 'Hamayasu, Toru'; Kurio, Phyllis; Miyamoto, Faith  
**Cc:** Harvey Berliner; Wes Mott; Van Epps, James; 'Scheibe, Mark'  
**Subject:** FW: Honolulu Risk Assessment Workshop (Part II) June 2nd thru 4th, 2009

Here is the notice to us about the FTA meetings in the first week in June. I spoke with Nadeem this morning and he needs an

AR00136745

e-mail from us confirming the date before they all make airline reservations.

We covered the planned agenda. They would like to use the Tuesday mornings tour to take a good look at the airport alignment. They don't need another overall tour of the project. On Tuesday afternoon they want to go over our responses to their suggestions on the spot report and give us their analysis of the revisions necessary to incorporate the airport alignment. I'll try to put together a package of the previous materials for us all to take a look at before the meeting. Nadeem said that they are going to need to "finalize" the Jacobs Risk Assessment Report and the Financial PMOC report in order to approve us into preliminary engineering which he said will be done as soon as is reasonably possible. I expressed my concern about the FOIA implications of this. He said that he understands our concerns and intends to use word smithing to work out compromise language. He said that he would act as a "referee" to make final decisions about what to say in the reports, but they will need document the full sense of the reviews while trying to keep the wording basically non-controversial. He thinks getting this done will take all day Wednesday and perhaps into Thursday morning if necessary. He wants to leave Honolulu with a finally revised Spot report that we can both agree upon and which does not give rise to further controversies.

Jim Van Epps, it is clear that Jim Baig will be needed for this meeting along with whoever is necessary to answer other questions about the airport. Other people who should plan on attending Wednesday are those who had significant input to our comments on the Jacobs spot report. I'm pretty sure fleet size is going to come up as will the revised ridership forecasts.

---

**From:** Nadeem.Tahir@dot.gov [mailto:Nadeem.Tahir@dot.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 11, 2009 12:16 PM  
**To:** thamayasu@honolulu.gov; Simon Zweighaft  
**Cc:** pkurio@honolulu.gov; Kim.Nguyen@dot.gov; Catherine.Luu@dot.gov  
**Subject:** FW: Honolulu Risk Assessment Workshop (Part II) June 2nd thru 4th, 2009

Toru: We would appreciate if you could accommodate this schedule. (See below). We have asked Jacobs to proceed with the risk assessment on the airport alignment and to review your comments on the current draft risk report. We already have the triennial review with the city and county the same week and this will allow us to cover both. Thanks.

Nadeem S. Tahir, P.E., CCM.  
Director, Office of Program Management and Oversight  
U.S.D.O.T. Federal Transit Administration, Region IX  
201 Mission Street, Ste 1650, San Francisco, CA 94105  
415-744-3113 (w), 415-264-3316 (c), 415-744-2726 (fax)

---

**From:** Mantych, Timothy [mailto:Timothy.Mantych@jacobs.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 11, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Luu, Catherine <FTA>; Nguyen, Kim <FTA>  
**Subject:** Honolulu Risk Assessment Workshop (Part II)

Nadeem – Per our conversation, here is the schedule for the Follow-up Risk Assessment Workshop tentatively scheduled for the week of June 1, 2009:

Monday – Travel / Meet internally upon arrival  
Tuesday – Project Alignment Tour in the morning / Discuss City's comments to initial Spot Report  
Wednesday – Triennial Review Exit Interview (FTA only) / Scope, Schedule, Budget Review Workshop (PMOC and FTA following Triennial Review Exit Interview)  
Thursday – Breakout sessions (as needed) / FTA travel  
Friday – PMOC travel

I will put together a DRAFT agenda for your review.

Thanks,  
**Timothy L. Mantych, P.E. (MO, IL)**  
**Jacobs**

FTA PMOC Program Manager  
501 North Broadway  
St. Louis, MO 63102  
Phone: 314.335.4454  
Mobile: 314.614.1386  
[tim.mantych@jacobs.com](mailto:tim.mantych@jacobs.com)

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.