

FTA Conference Call  
8:00 a.m.  
October 15, 2008

Reviewing Comments & Responses

**PB:** James Van Epps, Lawrence Spurgeon, Susan Robbins, Amy Zaref, Steve Hogan, Kristin Carlson, Faith Miyamoto, Susan Killen, Tom Jenkins Hector Herrera,

**Region 9:** Ted Matley

**Washington:** Jim Barr

Chapter 3

Because Ron Fisher was not on the call, questions on Chapter 3 second-round comments could not be addressed.

Maintenance and Storage:

Jim Barr requested that the M&S be side by side with maps and possible impacts and comparing the two sites. Mr. Barr requested a summary in Chapter 2 and separate section in Chapter 4 for the M&S.

Noise

FTA requested a noise vibration construction monitoring commitment in the FEIS and a study after start-up to identify the noise impacts in the start up program.

Jim will make a note for noise commitment to be in the FEIS. Draft EIS revised to include study at start up.

Chapter 5

P. 5-13, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph

Figure 5-2 shows the preferred Airport Alternative with park impacts.  
(Please correct language)

Action: Revise language in reference to the Figure 5-2

p.5-15, De Minimis Impacts

The demolition of an historic property would now, on its face, appear to be a *de minimis* impact. Please expand this discussion to demonstrate that the demolition of the properties appears to be *de minimis* in relation to their larger

historical context, and mention that the agency officials will consider alternative views of consulting parties and the public when determining such effects.

Action: Remove the first sentence.

p.5-27, Application of 4(f)

Describe the current land use of the proposed station entrance touch-down area. Discuss the amenities of the touch-down area, and describe how the value of the area will be altered by the structural and human impacts of the proposed station. Include a current photo of the touch-down area.

Action: FTA requested more photos to help describe the impacts.

p. 5-29, Alakea Street

1<sup>st</sup> paragraph

FTA has not reviewed technical discussion of “pedestrian safety problem” referred to in the first paragraph.

Action: PB will be sending the information and the parking structural facilities today.

2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph

There is no discussion of a Diamond Head side station entrance in the preferred alternative, yet there is a discussion in the Alakea Street Station proposal.

New avoidance alternative presentation of station entrance Diamond Head of Alakea Street.

Final EIS will need additional simulation showing Walker Park.

#### **Final Action:**

Information that will be sent to FTA

- Faith Miyamoto will be sending the past correspondence referring 106 and the original merger letter.
- One page DEIS abstract will be sent to FTA.
- Chapter 6 will be sent to FTA.
- MFS will be inserted in Chapter 2 has a summary per Jim Barr.
- The language in Dillingham will be reworked. New analysis included
- An email will be sent to Ron Fisher chapters 3, 6, & 7.

#### **Timeline:**

A signature page will be sent to FTA on Friday, October 17th in case the deadline is met. Possibility of the EIS released by the election was discussed.