
From: Carranza, Edward <FTA>
To: Sukys, Raymond <FTA>
CC: Luu, Catherine <FTA>; Rogers, Leslie <FTA>; Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Weeks, Dwayne <FTA>
Sent: 1/2/2008 7:20:38 AM
Subject: FW: Managed lanes
Attachments: Managed lanes Preliminary review 04-19-2007 V2.doc; Spot Report #1 - Honolulu Cost Validation - May 2007 - Draft.doc.zip

Ray: You and I had a conversation this morning about the importance to assess the managed lanes project cost alternative along with the LRT alternative cost assessment as Nadeem provided last week in the NEPA process. The attached are the last two documents I have that discuss the alternative cost review by BAH. We can talk with our DC colleagues on the scheduled Friday Dwayne call about where we go from here for this particular project.

Dwayne: Can you provide an agenda for what you would wish to touch on for projects this coming Friday at 8:30 AM PST? Thank you.

From: McCarron, Francis [mailto:Mccarron_Francis@bah.com]
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2007 5:03 PM
To: Luu, Catherine <FTA>
Cc: Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>; Mccarron_Francis@bah.com; Cham Laura; laver_richard@bah.com; Belizaire Justine; Carranza, Edward <FTA>
Subject: Managed lanes

Hi the attached DRAFT paper states ...

A case can be made that the cost estimates under 10.04 of the managed lanes alternative in the Honolulu Cost Memorandum are reasonable. However, the findings to support that case are not statistically founded. . In this preliminary review, we did not find enough comparable projects nor any cost estimating standards in order to state definitively whether or not the Honolulu cost estimates are "reasonable" or 'unreasonable'. We will take a more detailed look at the cost build-up for Alternative 3 over the next few weeks and discuss the underlying assumptions with the grantee and PB in early May.

Thanks Frank

Frank McCarron
Booz Allen Hamilton
mccarron_francis@bah.com
(703) 902-5724 - Office
(703) 625-9274 - Mobile