From: Scheibe, Mark
To: 'Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov'
BCC: Davidson, William A.
Sent: 9/28/2007 11:49:52 AM
Subject: RE: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Ron,

You should probably confirm this with Jim but I don't think you will really have anything to review for the PE request until October/November except perhaps for the updated definition of alternatives report that we're finishing up now. I doubt the definition of alternatives will change as a result of the model update, but I guess they could. So if you'd prefer we could hold off sending that to you until the complete package is ready.

Mark

From: Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov [mailto:Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 11:20 AM
To: Scheibe, Mark
Subject: RE: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Mark,
I need another clarification – are the model updates planned to be finished in November the ones that we are to review for the PE request? And if so, are they for the 20-mile project? I need to be clear on what is being sent as our intention is to review only the information we need for the PE request.
Thanks,
Ron

From: Scheibe, Mark [mailto:Scheibe@pbworld.com]
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 3:53 PM
To: Fisher, Ronald <FTA>
Subject: RE: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Ron,

Let me verify with Heather which alternatives she sent. We have forecasts for the "MOS" as adopted by City Council (i.e. the 20 mile project via Salt Lake Boulevard) and I'll verify that was included in the package she sent. The updated version of the documentation of the definition of alternatives is what you'll need to go with that. As noted, we should be able to get that to you shortly.

My understanding from Bill Davidson's discussions with Jim Ryan is that Jim is also waiting to review new forecasts for the project that we'll be preparing once we've finished a set of model updates that Jim has requested. Our current schedule is to have the model updates completed in late October, at which time we'll provide Jim with documentation on the updates. We'll then proceed with making new forecasts which should be finished in November.

Mark

From: Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov [mailto:Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 9:43 AM
To: Scheibe, Mark
Subject: RE: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Mark,
Thanks for sending the forecasts to us. I want to be clear on what we need for the PE request. We need the forecasts for the project that Honolulu wants to advance into PE – the 20-mile project. We also need the detailed definition of alternatives that corresponds to the 20 mile project, and that was used for the forecasts. Your descriptions suggest that the 20-descirption of alternatives may not have been used for the forecasts – is that correct? I want to make sure we have what we need for the PE request.
Ron

From: Scheibe, Mark [mailto:Scheibe@pbworld.com]
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 3:28 PM
To: Fisher, Ronald <FTA>
Subject: FW: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Ron,

Toru asked me to follow up on your email to him.

As you can see in the email below we didn't successfully get the forecasts into your hands until today. I'm sorry; we should have followed up to verify that what we had mailed did arrive.

I'll send to you via PB's ftp site a copy of the Detailed Definition of Alternatives Report from the AA.
This describes all the alternatives in the AA including those that aren't moving forward. We're finishing up an updated definition of alternatives that describes the "20-mile Project" in its current form as well as some fine-tuning of the No-Build and TSM alternatives; I'll forward that to you once it's ready.

Once again, sorry that we didn't follow through earlier.

Mark

From: Fujioka, Heather
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 9:06 AM
To: Scheibe, Mark
Cc: Davidson, William A.
Subject: RE: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Mark,
I sent this back in early September via regular mail…….and Nazrul (FTA) emailed me this week to say he never got it……so I burned another set of DVDs and FEDexed it on Wednesday, and they received it this morning…

This is the model runs Ron is talking about right?

Heather.

From: Scheibe, Mark
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 9:01 AM
To: Davidson, William A.; Fujioka, Heather
Subject: FW: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

I agree with Toru.

Mark

From: Hamayasu, Toru [mailto:thamayasu@honolulu.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 9:00 AM
To: Scheibe, Mark
Subject: FW: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

I thought you sent coded network etc so that Jim can see the operational and service plans.

From: Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov [mailto:Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2007 3:39 AM
To: Hamayasu, Toru
Subject: Travel Forecasts and definition of alternatives documentation

Toru,
Jim does not have the forecasts, and has received nothing since the June meeting here that discussed them. We have received some information on forecasts that was used in our travel forecasting workshop recently that is unrelated to your project. Jim also has no recollection of receiving a definition of alternatives report, which contains the service plans – please send that report to me as that will eliminate any confusion.

Thanks,
Ron

Ron Fisher
Office of Planning and Environment
Federal Transit Administration
1200 New Jersey Ave. S.E.
4th Floor - East Building
Washington, DC 20590
202 366-0257

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.