
From: Scheibe, Mark
To: Hamayasu, Toru
Sent: 5/15/2007 5:24:07 AM
Subject: FW: Draft Meeting Agenda

Redacted

From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2007 8:22 AM
To: Davidson, William A.
Cc: Scheibe, Mark; nazrul.islam@dot.gov; Sean.Libberton@dot.gov; Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov; Freedman, Joel; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Draft Meeting Agenda

Looks good. I'm assuming that 2.b.7 Analysis of the 2030 No-Build Forecast and 3. Managed Lane Alternative will specifically address, or identify how you plan to address, the scoping comments we've received on these two topics. If not, then plans for those agenda items need to be revised to address that question. Thanks.

From: Davidson, William A. [mailto:Davidson@pbworld.com]
Sent: Monday, May 14, 2007 1:58 PM
To: Ryan, James <FTA>
Cc: Scheibe, Mark; Islam, Nazrul <FTA>; Libberton, Sean <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Freedman, Joel; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>
Subject: RE: Draft Meeting Agenda

Attached is a revised version of the agenda for next Monday that I believe responds to your suggested changes. It is our intent to begin to forward files, data, and information for selected items on the agenda beginning early this week. Thanks and we look forward to meeting with you next Monday.

William A. Davidson
PB
303 Second Street, Suite 700N
San Francisco, CA 94107
(415) 243-4601
(925) 202-3395 (mobile)

From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2007 11:02 AM
To: Davidson, William A.
Cc: Scheibe, Mark; nazrul.islam@dot.gov; Sean.Libberton@dot.gov; Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov; Freedman, Joel; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Draft Meeting Agenda

This looks good, with two additional changes:

- Let's make the managed-lanes topic a separate item #3. Within that item, there are at least three topics: the definition of the alternative, the travel forecasts, and the FTA/PMOC review of the capital cost estimate. That will make Next Steps the 4th item.
- And let's add a summary item at the end to review the conclusions/status for each of the topics.

Ray Sukys will call in for items 3, 4, and 5. These revisions separate the broader topics that are of interest to him from the travel forecasting details that are of interest to few sane individuals.

AR00148719

Thanks!

From: Davidson, William A. [mailto:Davidson@pbworld.com]
Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 3:13 PM
To: Ryan, James <FTA>
Cc: Scheibe, Mark; Islam, Nazrul <FTA>; Libberton, Sean <FTA>; Fisher, Ronald <FTA>; Freedman, Joel
Subject: RE: Draft Meeting Agenda

Attached a revised version of the agenda, incorporating your thoughts and comments.

<<Agenda 21-May-07_v2.doc>>

We agree that it makes sense to reserve the entire day for these discussions. We expect to send you materials in advance for your review, so the meeting can be both a conveyance of your comments as well as the opportunity to interpret findings and conclusions and explore next steps, as appropriate.

Previously, I think you asked who might be attending from our group. It is likely to be Mark, Toru, a representative of the PMC, myself and probably Joel Freedman. Mark can revise the list if I have missed someone.

William A. Davidson
PB
303 Second Street, Suite 700N
San Francisco, CA 94107
(415) 243-4601
(925) 202-3395 (mobile)

-----Original Message-----

From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 5:10 AM
To: Davidson, William A.
Cc: Scheibe, Mark; nazrul.islam@dot.gov; Sean.Libberton@dot.gov; Ronald.Fisher@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Draft Meeting Agenda

Looks good, with three comments.

*

Your earlier message suggested that three hours would be sufficient. With the number of items on the agenda, a three hour meeting would seem sufficient only if (1) we got most of the materials in advance so that the meeting would largely be the conveyance of our comments, or (2) we get the materials at the meeting along with a quick overview of highlights and we'll prepare comments during the week following the meeting. I'd prefer the first option.

* I'm specifically requesting that we make the analysis of the No-Build forecasts a separate item immediately after "Model Validation Results (2005)." We can talk about the status of forecasts for the other alternatives once we understand how we'll be ready to defend the ridership forecast for 2030 No Build. That forecast needs to be settled down before the other 2030 forecasts can be finalized anyway.

* And I'm also requesting that we add an agenda item to discuss the planned response to scoping comments suggesting problems in the forecasts for the managed lanes alternatives.

And again, just three hours?

From: Davidson, William A. [mailto:Davidson@pbworld.com]

AR00148720

Sent: Thu 4/26/2007 9:08 PM
To: Ryan, James <FTA>
Cc: Scheibe, Mark
Subject: Draft Meeting Agenda

Attached is the draft agenda that Mark and I have prepared for your review and consideration.

William A. Davidson
PB
303 Second Street, Suite 700N
San Francisco, CA 94107
(415) 243-4601
(925) 202-3395 (mobile)

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.