From: Kurio, Phyllis
To: Burke, James; Hamayasu, Toru
CC: Lori Arakaki; Au, Mark
Sent: 12/7/2006 1:43:56 PM
Subject: RE: Next TIP Amendment

Lori (OMPO) and I discussed the need for a TIP amendment this month for FTA-assisted projects. The conclusion is that the proposed modifications below are "non-significant". The SAFETEA-LU compliant date of 7/1/2007 does not affect administrative modifications for non-significant revisions so there's no need for DTS to request an administrative TIP amendment this month.

- Middle St. Intermodal Center: Defer from FY 2007 to FY 2008. (A5)
- Wahiawa Transit Center: Probable addition of $1 million in FY 2007 §5309 bus allocation based on Senator Inouye's press release. (A3 because the project is already included in the FYs 2006-2008 TIP).
- Honolulu High Capacity Transit Project: Probable switch from §5307 to §5309 New Starts funds. (A1)

We agreed that it would be prudent to hold off processing an administration modification until Congress enacts the USDOT's FY 2007 budget and the §5309 allocation amounts (including take-downs and any rescission amounts) are known. I'll re-assess this in March 2007 if the budget is not enacted.

Administrative Action A1 = The source of federal funds designated for a project may be revised to reflect a different funding program administered by the same U.S. DOT operating agency.

Administrative Action A3 = The amount programmed for a project may be revised to reflect changes in cost estimates.

Administrative Action A5 = Projects may be deferred to a later year if they are not "ready-to-go" as originally programmed.

From: Lori Arakaki [mailto:arakakiompo@hawaii.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 28, 2006 10:06 AM
To: Au, Mark; Kurio, Phyllis
Subject: Next TIP Amendment

Hi Mark & Phyllis,

FYI, DOT has requested an amendment to their TIP projects. I will probably do an administrative amendment followed by a non-administrative amendment. The amendment will include changes to FY 2006 cost estimates in order to reflect obligated amounts. This needs to be done in order to free up $. Things are still up in the air (I'm still awaiting their actual request), but I'm targeting having it completed by the 12/20 CAC meeting.

Phyllis – For consistency, I'd like to reflect the FY 2006 obligated amounts for FTA projects…would that cause a problem for you? Let me know.

Lori