

**From:** Kurio, Phyllis  
**To:** Hamayasu, Toru  
**Sent:** 5/23/2006 1:01:32 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Wahiawa Transit Center

# Redacted

---

**From:** Donna.Turchie@dot.gov [mailto:Donna.Turchie@dot.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2006 12:54 PM  
**To:** Kurio, Phyllis  
**Subject:** RE: Wahiawa Transit Center

Between you and me, that explains why it isn't the usual high quality from the start. But, everyone has to learn. DT

---

**From:** Kurio, Phyllis [mailto:pkurio@honolulu.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2006 3:47 PM  
**To:** Turchie, Donna <FTA>  
**Cc:** Turchie, Donna <FTA>  
**Subject:** RE: Wahiawa Transit Center

Hi, Donna. Let's postpone the telephone call until you've had a chance to review the maps that I will send tomorrow. Work is a hectic because I've got 2 new planners that I'm training. Strather is doing DBE and I'm accompanying him to a meeting with HDOT in 15 minutes (gotta gobble down my lunch). Mark is doing FHWA and City CIP stuff. I'll email to arrange a phone call; I need to get Faith and the project manager involved.

I appreciate your review comments. I didn't draft this CE documentation; I made the project manager do it. I'm tired of babysitting the project managers.

---

**From:** Donna.Turchie@dot.gov [mailto:Donna.Turchie@dot.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 23, 2006 12:28 PM  
**To:** Kurio, Phyllis  
**Cc:** donna.turchie@fta.dot.gov  
**Subject:** Wahiawa Transit Center

Hi PK:

Why don't you call me tomorrow morning and we can discuss. Here are some additional thoughts I have after re-reading your document for a 3<sup>rd</sup> time. Thanks.

Needs a mention of zoning status for project site and area which can also be noted on the project's map (can write or type in).

Air Quality – State that the area is “in attainment” for federal air quality. This is more important than state rules to FTA.

Traffic Info- You provide a lot of info here which is somewhat confusing.

The paragraph beginning “The traffic analysis was based...” has some typos that when corrected will make the paragraph clearer. Clarify why or what was done to re-evaluate the 2002 study to be sure the information is still current. I know this is what you are trying to say here. I think you might be able to make the traffic section shorter.

I hope this doesn't sound critical because you always do a good job on CE and provide lots of info (compared to other grantees). I have used some of your former CEs as samples. It may be my fault that I'm having problems understanding the traffic analysis portion. I'll look at it again tomorrow morning. After we talk, it should help me.

Have a good evening.

DT