

---

**From:** Danny Smith (GIS)  
**To:** Buttaro, Benjamin; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Porter, Bryan  
**CC:** Ohtomo, Nami; Chan, Veronica  
**Sent:** 1/5/2006 9:33:37 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Honolulu Transit Study Question

For the geocoding. They'd be used for data analysis. The finished product that we will give, the addresses would be missing the dash. Aside from the simplicity and consistency of geocoding, we're finding that the respondents find the dash to be the most disposable (likely for time saving purposes) when filling out the surveys.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Buttaro, Benjamin](#)  
**To:** [Danny Smith \(GIS\)](#) ; [Spurgeon, Lawrence](#) ; [Porter, Bryan](#)  
**Cc:** [Ohtomo, Nami](#) ; [Chan, Veronica](#)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 05, 2006 1:23 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Transit Study Question

Hello Danny. We're still awaiting a reply from the printer, but I just want to make sure I'm not jumping to any conclusions about the addresses—what are they going to be used for?

Thanks,

Alex

***B. Alex Buttaro***  
*Environmental Planner*

**Parsons Brinckerhoff**  
Office: 808-566-2235  
Cell: 808-780-6805  
1001 Bishop Street  
American Savings Bank Tower  
Suite 2400  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
Email: [buttaro@pbworld.com](mailto:buttaro@pbworld.com)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Danny Smith (GIS) [<mailto:dsmith@nustats.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 04, 2006 2:02 PM  
**To:** Buttaro, Benjamin; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Porter, Bryan  
**Cc:** Ohtomo, Nami; Chan, Veronica  
**Subject:** Re: Honolulu Transit Study Question

I believe I did not mention that many of the respondents are not putting the dash in the address field on the surveys. In such cases, it'd be a situation of putting the dash in rather than taking it out. For consistency sake, it'd make things smoother on our end to remove the dash entirely.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** [Buttaro, Benjamin](#)  
**To:** [Spurgeon, Lawrence](#) ; [Porter, Bryan](#) ; [Danny Smith \(GIS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Ohtomo, Nami](#) ; [Chan, Veronica](#)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 04, 2006 5:42 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Transit Study Question

The only problem I can foresee is on a MS Access database query or in the mailing—either at the printer or post office. I can check all three to see if anybody anticipates a problem on their side for the missing dashes. -A

***B. Alex Buttaro***  
*Environmental Planner*

**Parsons Brinckerhoff**

Office: 808-566-2235  
Cell: 808-780-6805  
1001 Bishop Street  
American Savings Bank Tower  
Suite 2400  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813  
Email: [buttaro@pbworld.com](mailto:buttaro@pbworld.com)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Spurgeon, Lawrence  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 04, 2006 1:28 PM  
**To:** Porter, Bryan; 'Danny Smith (GIS)'  
**Cc:** Ohtomo, Nami; Chan, Veronica; Buttaro, Benjamin  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Transit Study Question

Nami is out this week. Alex or Veronica - what do you think. How are these addresses constructed?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Porter, Bryan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 04, 2006 1:26 PM  
**To:** 'Danny Smith (GIS)'  
**Cc:** Spurgeon, Lawrence; Ohtomo, Nami  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Transit Study Question

Nami – I can't answer Danny's question. Is it OK that we use a "consolidated" address?

Bryan

---

**From:** Danny Smith (GIS) [mailto:[dsmith@nustats.com](mailto:dsmith@nustats.com)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 04, 2006 12:36 PM  
**To:** Porter, Bryan  
**Subject:** Honolulu Transit Study Question

Bryan, this is Danny Smith in the GIS Dept at NuStats. I have a question about the Honolulu geocoding. The ArcView we use doesn't recognize dashes (for instance an address number of 95-345 Kamehameha Highway doesn't "read" anything after the 95). Is it okay if we deliver the addresses as 95345 Kamehameha Highway rather than 95-345 Kamehameha Highway? If you'd like to discuss it over the phone, rather than email (both work for me), you could call me at 512-306-9065, extension 2254.

Thanks, Bryan.

- Danny Smith