
From: Ossi, Joseph (TPL)
To: Turchie, Donna (TRO-09)
Sent: 7/7/2000 4:09:57 PM
Subject: RE: Honolulu DEIS letter

I haven't read the letter yet, but rescoping is not necessary for the following reasons:

1. The NOI does not have to identify all alternatives covered in the DEIS, and an alternative that the NOI does identify may be dropped for good cause before the DEIS. (The DEIS should discuss why it was dropped.)
2. The interested public agencies that were involved in scoping (e.g., EPA, City Council and Mayor, FHWA, Hawaii DOT) know what is going on with Sand Island since they caused it.
3. Our only concern should be that the public not be misled into thinking that there will be a Sand Island alternative when there won't be. Unless Honolulu put out a newsletter or other widely distributed public document that created public expectations regarding the DEIS coverage of Sand Island, there are no expectations to be undone.

Anthony Joseph Ossi, Jr.
Office of Planning, TPL-22
Federal Transit Administration
400 7th Street SW, Room 9413
Washington DC 20590
joseph.ossi@fta.dot.gov
ph: 202.366.0096
fax: 202.493.2478

-----Original Message-----

From: Turchie, Donna (TRO-09)
Sent: Friday, July 7, 2000 6:05 PM
To: Ossi, Joseph (TPL)
Subject: Honolulu DEIS letter

Hi Joe:

Ray suggested I send you our draft letter to Honolulu releasing the comments to see if you think it is ok. Also, do we need to say anything about re-scoping? Do they need to do this since they are dropping out the Sand Island alternative that is in the NOI and was described at the scoping meeting?

Thanks.

<< File: DEIS ltr transmitting comments 7-00.doc >>