

Section 8 Summary of Consultation

Community, agency, and Native Hawaiian consultation has been an important component of the preparation of this AISP. In accordance with Stipulation III of the project Programmatic Agreement (PA), finalized on January 18, 2011, CSH, the City, and the City's representatives, have pursued consultation with a range of state agencies, Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs), and lineal and cultural descendants, in order to receive input on the scope of the work and design of the Airport Construction Phase 3 AISP.

On June 1 and June 3, 2011, consultation emails seeking archaeological, cultural, and historic information about the project area and the vicinity, as well as a request for potential consultation contacts, were sent to the following state agencies and Native Hawaiian Organizations (see Appendix C):

1. Office of Hawaiian Affairs
2. O'ahu Island Burial Council
3. SHPD/DLNR
4. Hui Mālama I Nā Kūpuna O Hawai'i Nei

Consultation letters were also mailed simultaneously via post to the above organizations. CSH received a consultation response letter from OHA dated June 30, 2011 acknowledging receipt of the letter, expressing the request for test excavations as close to areas of proposed ground disturbance as possible, and stating that no consultation referrals were offered at this time (see description below) (see Appendix C). A consultation response letter was also drafted by the SHPD on August 11, 2011 offering several suggestions for consultation outreach (see Appendix C).

Additionally, CSH investigated whether any NHOs specifically associated with the *ahupua'a* of Hālawā or Moanalua could be identified. No Hawaiian Civic Clubs or other Native Hawaiian Organizations were identified in this area.

On June 8, 2011, CSH attended the OIBC general meeting at Kalanimoku Building in order to present updates for all four construction phases of the HHCTCP. Regarding Construction Phase 3, Matt McDermott of CSH provided the OIBC members with handouts depicting the project corridor route and proposed transit stations for Phase 3 and requested any consultation outreach recommendations. It was further stated that CSH had been unable to identify any Hawaiian Civic Clubs or other Native Hawaiian organizations associated with Hālawā and Moanalua Ahupua'a, through which the Construction Phase 3 corridor traverses. The OIBC acknowledged receipt of the consultation letter but did not have any outreach recommendations for Construction Phase 3 at that time.

During the June 8, 2011 OIBC general meeting, two individuals, Ms. Amelia Gora and Ms. Kawehi Kanu'i, presented their genealogical connection to the *ahupua'a* of Hālawā as part of the public testimony. Pursuant to the consultation effort for Construction Phase 3, CSH emailed consultation letters on June 13, 2011 to both individuals, seeking archaeological, cultural, and historic information about the project area and vicinity (see Appendix C). CSH received several response emails on June 13, 18, 19, and 20, 2011. In her email response, Ms. Gora provided

detailed information and attachments regarding sovereignty and land acquisition issues concerning Hālawā Ahupua‘a and Pearl Harbor. However, she declined to disclose any cultural or other historic knowledge. Ms. Kanu‘i expressed her opposition to the HHCTCP as well as addressed sovereignty and land ownership issues. As a descendent of the area along the wider rail route as well as Hālawā, she expressed concern for the disturbance of *iwi kūpuna* and stated a claim to all bones within the area.

Additionally, on June 29 and 30, 2011, CSH corresponded with Keola Lindsey of the OHA regarding the Airport AISP. Mr. Lindsey placed a telephone voicemail message on June 29th to Matt McDermott of CSH requesting further information on the Airport AISP. Mr. McDermott emailed a reply on June 29th, stating that a draft AISP would be ready in a few days for the City and PB Americas to review. Mr. McDermott also attached several figures depicting the proposed test trench locations, the Airport route in relation to documented LCAs, and two 19th century maps with an overlay of the Airport route. He explained the comparable sampling strategies of Phase 2 and Phase 3 of the HHCTCP and the reasons that the Airport Phase 3 was considered the least archaeologically sensitive of the four project phases. Mr. McDermott also offered to meet with Mr. Lindsey to discuss the draft AISP for the Airport. On June 30th, Mr. Lindsey replied via email that his questions had been answered and that there would be no need for a specific meeting.

In OHA's June 30th consultation response letter (see above), OHA acknowledged the detailed email response provided by CSH and stated that the figures provided were very helpful. In the letter OHA recognized the difficulties posed by such a heavily developed project area and requested that, to the extent possible, CSH conduct the archaeological investigations within areas which will be subject to ground disturbing activities.

At the time of this draft AISP, consultation efforts for the Airport Construction Phase 3 of the HHCTCP are ongoing. Any further consultation shall be included in the Final AISP.