**From:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA)
**To:** Welbes, Matt (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
**CC:** Jackson, Brian (FTA); Riklin, Sherry (FTA)
**Sent:** 10/29/2010 10:07:24 AM
**Subject:** RE: Action Items

Given that we just learned that the City of Honolulu has decided to delay the procurement until January, I don't think we need mention this to Peter just yet. The write-up below should be sufficient. Do you agree, Susan?

---

**From:** Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 3:57 PM
**To:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
**Cc:** Jackson, Brian (FTA); Riklin, Sherry (FTA)
**Subject:** RE: Action Items

Just to confirm, the email to Peter should include the pre-cast yard issue, but not the NTP issue, correct? Here's the current update item:

1. **Honolulu LRT letter** - As discussed with you last week, Leslie will send a letter to Honolulu officials stating that the pre-cast concrete yard issue must be resolved before an environmental Record of Decision can be issued for the project. If Honolulu officials opt for a pre-cast yard location not in the FEIS as the contractor has proposed, the ROD will be delayed. Selecting a yard covered by the FEIS will help maintain the schedule. The city is seeking a LONP for construction of the western part of the project once NEPA is completed.

---

**From:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 3:55 PM
**To:** Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Cc:** Jackson, Brian (FTA); Riklin, Sherry (FTA)
**Subject:** RE: Action Items

I'm sorry, I'm just getting this information from Region 9, it's coming in in real time. The NTP under discussion is actually for a SECOND design build contract in addition to the Western Segment contract that they already awarded. This new contract is for the Maintenance and Storage Facility. The PMOC just found out (in the last five minutes) that the City is delaying the NTP from November 1st to January. **Therefore, I don't think we need to tell TOA about this issue just yet.** Sorry for the false alarm! Robyn

---

**From:** Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 3:35 PM
**To:** Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Cc:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA); Jackson, Brian (FTA); Riklin, Sherry (FTA)
**Subject:** RE: Action Items

I sent my last e-mail a minute too soon. I just got the following e-mail from Robyn saying that FTA has to decide about the City of Honolulu's plans to issue a notice to proceed in the immediate future for PE and pre-construction on the Western portion of the project.

-----Original Appointment-----
**From:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 3:26 PM
**To:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Ossi, Joseph

(FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA)
**Subject:** Honolulu Precast letter
**When:** Monday, November 01, 2010 2:00 PM-3:00 PM (GMT-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).
**Where:** Call in 877-336-1829 passcode 6897758

Hi all,

I'm extending the time for this meeting because we have a second issue we need to discuss. It is imminent that the City is going to issue an NTP for preliminary design and pre-construction to Kiewit for the DB contract for the Maintenance and Storage Facility site. We need to discuss if we want to send a letter to the City with either a strong warning or a statement that FTA is opposed to the NTP. Attached is some background information on the issue.

Thanks,
Robyn

---

**From:** Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 3:28 PM
**To:** Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Cc:** Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA); Jackson, Brian (FTA); Riklin, Sherry (FTA)
**Subject:** RE: Action Items

Matt—three thoughts for your consideration. Susan

HONOLULU
I like Therese's suggestion. Also, please note that the Honolulu letter states that the pre-cast yard issue has to be resolved "before an environmental Record of Decisions can be issued" for the project. So if Honolulu opts for a site or sites not in the FEIS, the ROD will be delayed. The City wants to get an LONP for construction of the western part of the project as soon as NEPA is completed.

CENTRAL CORRIDOR
I have asked Mike Patella and Region V to provide their responses Peter's questions on the Met Council's "internal borrowing" procedures—Are there firewalls/barriers between different "elements" of the Met Council? Are there internal Met Council governance requirements for internal borrowing? Why is Met Council borrowing money if they have funds in hand? Would it cost FTA more if third party, rather than internal, borrowing occurred? etc.

URBAN CIRCULATORS
TPE is preparing a Federal Register notice saying that the Urban Circulators will be handled like formula funds projects, and not will not be subject to New Starts "Exempt" project procedures.

---

**From:** Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 3:12 PM
**To:** Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
**Subject:** Fw: Action Items

Anything else to cover? Thank you.

---

**From**: McMillan, Therese (FTA)
**Sent**: Friday, October 29, 2010 02:29 PM
**To**: Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Subject**: RE: Action Items

I think with Honolulu we would add to the last provision".. can do additional NEPA work—accepting the time and project delay involved--…"

**From:** Welbes, Matt (FTA)
**Sent:** Friday, October 29, 2010 1:54 PM
**To:** McMillan, Therese (FTA)
**Subject:** Action Items

Therese – Anything else to cover in this message? I'll copy Dorval, Brian, Rich, and Susan B.

==========

Peter,

Here's an update and request for confirmation on the following topics:

1. **Honolulu letter** - As discussed with you last week, Leslie will notify Honolulu that in selecting the pre-cast concrete yard location, either a site covered by our NEPA ROD can be used or, if local officials choose, they can do additional NEPA work so that the contractor can use its proposed site.

2. **Columbia River Crossing** - The project sponsor's FY 2012 New Starts report submission proposes a New Starts amount of $850 M. Due to CRC-specific provisions in law, this is allowable. The New Starts share would be 91 percent if calculated according to Ch. 53, but the share is about 23 percent when calculating it as part of the overall CRC project, as prescribed by law. FTA will report the $850 M New Starts amount in the Annual New Starts report.

Please notify us if you would like to proceed differently. Also, please let Dorval or any of us if there follow up action we should take based on your ARC conversation yesterday.