From: Elaine_Jackson-Retondo@nps.gov
To: VanWyk, Christopher (FTA)
CC: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Frank_Hays@nps.gov
Sent: 6/7/2010 12:23:02 PM
Subject: Fw: Section 4(f) evaluation
Attachments: PearlHarborNHLnomination66000940[1].pdf; pic15121.jpg

Chris,

I have attached a copy of the 1978 NHL nomination for U.S. Base Pearl Harbor. I have highlighted text on the 5th page of the pdf (Section 7, page 1). The highlighted section is longer than a single sentence; however, cutting any one portion out does not fully describe the extent of the landmark, the historic resources within the boundary, the setting, nor the historic significance. The nomination also is available online (http://nrhp.focus.nps.gov/natregsearchresult.do?fullresult=true&recordid=0).

If you have further questions, please do not hesitate to call or email me.

Elaine

(See attached file: PearlHarborNHLnomination66000940[1].pdf)

Elaine Jackson-Retondo, Ph.D.
National Register & National Historic Landmarks Program
National Park Service . Pacific West Regional Office

1111 Jackson Street, Suite 700 . Oakland, CA 94607-4807
510 817 1428 (v) . 510 817 1484 (f)

----- Forwarded by Elaine Jackson-Retondo/OAKLAND/NPS on 06/07/2010 02:48 PM -----

Elaine Jackson-Retondo/OAKLAND/NPS
To: <Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov>
06/04/2010 02:07 PM
cc: Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov, elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov, Renee.Marler@dot.gov, Frank Hays/Honolulu/NPS@NPS
Subject: Re: Section 4(f) evaluation
(Document link: Elaine Jackson-Retondo (Archive))

Chris,

I have included an excerpt from the May 20, 2009 letter from NPS to the City (addressed to Wayne Yoshioka) where we first raised this issue, so that you have a clearer understanding of our more recent abbreviated restatement of our comment on the language used to describe the significance of the properties within the NHL district. The excerpt explains why we would prefer omission of the text or a revision that more accurately reflects the status of the contributors within the NHL district.

I also will provide a highlighted portion of text from the more recent nomination by COB Monday.

Elaine.

(Embedded image moved to file: pic15121.jpg)

Elaine Jackson-Retondo, Ph.D.
National Register & National Historic Landmarks Program
National Park Service . Pacific West Regional Office

1111 Jackson Street, Suite 700 . Oakland, CA 94607-4807
510 817 1428 (v) . 510 817 1484 (f)

<Christopher.VanW
yk@dot.gov>
To
06/04/2010 12:11 <Elaine_Jackson-Retondo@nps.gov>
PM cc
<elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov>,
<Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>,
<Renee.Marler@dot.gov>
Subject
Section 4(f) evaluation

Elaine,

We're working diligently on the FEIS for the Honolulu project, and we had a follow-up question on the Pearl Harbor National Historic Landmark. You had stated that we were using information from an old nomination for the NHL in our Section 4(f) evaluation. Here is the language that was used:

"The NHL nomination specifically states that the national significance of Pearl Harbor stems from its continuing function rather than its physical facilities and those physical changes required to support this mission are necessary, normal, and expected."

The City has thus far been able to find more recent nomination language to use, so I'm seeking your help. Could you please send the most recent nomination form with the language highlighted that you prefer? We hope to publish the FEIS soon, so please send the form as soon as you can. If we're not able to obtain more recent language by the time we issue the FEIS, we'll have to use the old language.

Thanks for your help!
Chris

Christopher Van Wyk
Attorney-Advisor
Federal Transit Administration
Office of Chief Counsel
1200 New Jersey Ave., SE
East Building - Fifth Floor
Washington, DC 20590

(202) 366-1733
Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov