

Meeting Notes HHCTCP

Teleconference with Section 106 Consulting Parties on the Programmatic Agreement (PA)

January 3, 2011

Attendees:

FTA: Carl Bausch, Elizabeth Zelasko , Catherine Leu, Debra Jones, Renee Marler, Ed Carranza, Ray Sukys, Ted Matley

Advisory Council of Historic Preservation (ACHP): Blythe Semmer

Rapid Transit Division: Faith Miyamoto, Judy Aranda, Jesse Souki, Kaleo Patterson, Nalani Dahl, Trisha Watson

General Engineering Consultant (GEC): Stephanie Foell, Lawrence Spurgeon, Steve Hogan, Barb Gilliland, Jim Van Epps, Amy Zaref, Gary Omori, Melony Bennett

State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD): Pua Aiu

Department of Planning and Permitting (DPP): Terrence Ware

Historic Hawaii Foundation: Wendy Wickman

AIA Honolulu (AIA): Spencer Leinwebber

National Park Service (NPS): Elaine Jackson-Retondo, Melia Lane-Kamahele

National Historic Trust Preservation (NHTP): Betsy Merritt

Navy: Commander Maria Aguayo

Purpose of the meeting:

- Conclude the Section 106 Consultation process on developing the (PA)
- Address questions on the PA materials distributed to consulting parties (CPs) in December 2010

Following is a summary of the issues, responses and action items:

Issue 1: Design Reviews

Comment: AIA expressed concerns regarding:

- the timing of design reviews by CPs at final design is too late in the design process
- CPs need to have input early enough in the design process to make a difference

Response: PA Stipulation IV, page 14 addresses design reviews. Design reviews by CPs will occur during preliminary engineering; there will also be several station design workshops for each station to solicit additional input from CPs

Action: Wording under Stipulation IV. C will be revised to state: "At the earliest practicable time during preliminary engineering and prior to Project entry into final design, the City shall provide preliminary engineering design plans for build components of the Project...".

Issue 2: Curation

Comment: NPS asked that the PA address:

- how archaeological resources will be curated
- development of a curation plan

- reference 36 CFR Part 79, *Curation of Federally-Owned and administered Archaeological Collections*

Response: Stipulation III. E and III.F. address development of treatment plans and data recovery plans for archaeological resources and curation by the City. The City must comply with the requirements of 36 CFR Part 79.

Action: Clarify in Stipulations III.E.2 and III. F that the City will comply, as applicable, with 36 C.F.R. Part 79.

Issue 3: Transition of PA responsibilities to Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (HART)

Comment: HHF asked for clarification regarding the City's responsibility for implementation of the PA with the future HART.

Response: HART will assume the obligations and responsibilities for implementation of the fixed guideway project, including the requirements of the PA.

Action: A WHEREAS clause will be added to the PA to clarify that Section 16 Section 16-129 of the Revised Charter of the City and County of Honolulu 1973, as amended, provides that all lawful obligations and liabilities owed by or to the City relating to the City's fixed guideway mass transit system shall be assumed by the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation on July 1, 2011.

Issue 4: Little Makalapa Housing District

Comment: HHF asked why there is one Makalapa Navy Housing Historic District listed in Attachment 2 (Little Makalapa) yet two districts (Little Makalapa and Big Makalapa) are shown on the APE maps (pane 23) in Attachment 1

Response: Under Section 106, the City identified two separate districts based on their use, age of development, and division by a major roadway. However, separately, for resource management purposes, the Navy identified a single district for these same properties. In coordination with the Navy, the FTA concluded that there is no property being acquired and no adverse effects to Little Makalapa Navy Housing Historic District. The SHPO concurred with those findings.

Action: The City will complete NRHP nomination forms for the Potential Little Makalapa Navy Housing Historic District and will add this commitment to Stipulation VI. C.

Issue 5: Relationship between TOD Ordinance and Special Districts

Comment: HHF asked whether transit-oriented development special districts regulations would override the special district regulations

Response: Additional language was added to one of the WHEREAS clauses on the ordinance and language was added to stipulations under indirect and cumulative effects sections of the PA. Transit oriented development will consider preservation of historic resources.

Action: In a separate response to the CPs, FTA and the City will provide a more detailed response to further explain the relationship of the TOD ordinance to historic district protection.