

---

**From:** Souki, Jesse K.  
**To:** elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov; Zaref, Amy  
**CC:** Miyamoto, Faith; Hogan, Steven; Foell, Stephanie; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov; Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov; Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; NancyEllen.Zusman@dot.gov; Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; 'Spurgeon, Lawrence'  
**Sent:** 5/12/2010 10:13:55 AM  
**Subject:** RE: HHCTCP -Responses to NPS

Elizabeth,

In our last phone call regarding Section 4(f) impacts on the Chinatown Historic District, Chris suggested that we could use a “no use” analysis for the Chinatown historic district. At the time, you were told that there was no use of historic property in the Chinatown historic district. However, our planner followed up and there are contributing buildings on the parking lot property. The other reason we used the current Section 4(f) approach was based on the adverse effects related to design, feeling, and association.

Given this information, do you still want us to change our direct use analysis to a no use analysis in the EIS and NPS response letter?

Sincerely,  
Jesse K. Souki  
Deputy Corporation Counsel  
City and County of Honolulu  
Tel.: (808) 768-5135

This e-mail is intended solely for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **confidential and/or privileged information**. Any review, dissemination, copying, printing or other use of this e-mail by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the material from any computer.

***Please consider the environment before printing this email.***