From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
To: Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Sent: 4/30/2010 2:50:12 AM
Subject: RE: Email message for the consulting parties

That is another option. Please let me know if you would like me to do that.

-----Original Message-----
From: Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Sent: Thu 4/29/2010 8:47 PM
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Subject: Re: Email message for the consulting parties

In the alternative, you could sign as the Federal Preservation Officer.

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
To: Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Sent: Thu Apr 29 17:05:51 2010
Subject: RE: Email message for the consulting parties

Ok. I'll check with Susan.l

From: Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:57 PM
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Subject: Re: Email message for the consulting parties

It would be faster if it went out from headquarerts, Liz. Susan may be there now. Carl

---

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
To: Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Cc: Marler, Renee (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)
Sent: Thu Apr 29 16:40:29 2010
Subject: RE: Email message for the consulting parties

The City sent out the initial letter for the consulting parties. I think someone in FTA should send this email. I do not mind being that person unless you think someone from Region 9 should send the email or a manager should send the email.

From: Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA)
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 4:20 PM
To: VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)

Cc: Marler, Renee (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)
Subject: RE: Email message for the consulting parties

Me, too. I forget, who signed the letter to the consulting parties?

From: VanWyk, Christopher (FTA)
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 3:16 PM
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Cc: Marler, Renee (FTA); Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)
Subject: RE: Email message for the consulting parties

Looks fine to me.

Chris

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Thu 4/29/2010 4:10 PM
To: Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Cc: Marler, Renee (FTA); Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)
Subject: Email message for the consulting parties

How does this message to the consulting parties sound? Who should send the email?

Thanks,

Liz

Dear Consulting Parties,

To keep you abreast on recent steps with the Section 106 consultation process for the Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project, we are attaching a letter that Federal Transit Administration (FTA) sent to Ms. Laura Thielen, the Hawaii State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) yesterday regarding a refinement of the proposed alignment near the Honolulu International Airport. In this letter, FTA requests concurrence from the SHPO on the revised area of potential effect (APE) and FTA's determination of eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) for new buildings within the APE.

The FTA has determined that there are no new historic properties eligible for listing on the NRHP within the revised APE. FTA has also determined that the proposed alignment would continue to have an adverse effect on the Hawaii Employers Council Building regarding the setting, feel, and association.

These determinations do not change any of the resources addressed in or commitments made in the Section 106 Programmatic Agreement; therefore, the FTA intends to proceed with the execution of the current Programmatic Agreement once we receive concurrence from the SHPO on the attached request.

Please use the FTP site below to download Maps of the APE for the Ualena Design Option and Eligibility forms for properties within the revised APE.

Thank you for your time,

The attachments can be downloaded at https://ftp.pbworld.com/GetFile.aspx?fn=0f57a05e-e7b2-44bd-b2dc-2fea0720468d