
From: Matley, Ted (FTA)
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
CC: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: 4/14/2010 2:11:34 PM
Subject: RE: Honolulu PA and transmittal letter
Attachments: FTA PA Draft Final Revise 4_14_10_clean.doc; Honolulu-106PA-transmittal- draft 1.0.doc

Liz,

I've never done one of these transmittal letters before, so I based it on the standard Region IX letter approach. Please review.

In stating the impacts of the alignment change, this letter assumes that SHPO has concurred to our letter seeking concurrence on the APE change and impacts determination. And this hasn't happened yet. Should that statement be removed to proceed faster?

Questions: How do we do this? Send the Signature page to them in order, after we sign?

I added the two edits from ACHP as suggested, but did you concur on adding this language to Stipulation XIII that was in the ACHP email?

If, at any time during implementation of the provisions of this PA, an NHO informs the City or FTA that it attaches religious and cultural significance to properties within the APE, FTA shall invite that NHO to participate in reviews and consultation carried out under the terms of this PA."

Also, as you suggested I changed the building's name change in the PA, but left SHPD in the PA in response to the City's two comments.

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, April 14, 2010 11:31 AM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Subject: Honolulu PA

Hey Ted –

How's the PA coming along?

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko
Federal Transit Administration
Office of Planning and Environment
elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov
(202) 366-0244