
From: Hogan, Steven
To: Steve.Wong@faa.gov; Miyamoto, Faith
CC: Pete.Ciesla@faa.gov; Dave.Kessler@faa.gov
Sent: 3/31/2010 10:02:01 PM
Subject: Re: HNL Rail ALP Review

Steve, let's talk in the morning. Thanks.

Steve Hogan

From: Steve.Wong@faa.gov <Steve.Wong@faa.gov>
To: fmiyamoto@honorulu.gov <fmiyamoto@honorulu.gov>; Hogan, Steven
Cc: Pete.Ciesla@faa.gov <Pete.Ciesla@faa.gov>; Dave.Kessler@faa.gov <Dave.Kessler@faa.gov>
Sent: Thu Apr 01 03:51:26 2010
Subject: Re:HNL Rail ALP Review

Hi Faith and Steve,

1. The ALP should show the preferred rail alignment (one) and not several alignments. The ALP submittal needs to show the alignment that has been agreed to by the State, since they own the airport. We have been consistent in indicating there is a requirement for an ALP update for an alignment that goes through HNL, so the ALP review requirement is nothing new. HDOT-A as the airport owner, rather than the City and County of Honolulu, is responsible to submit the updated ALP to the FAA for review.

2. The FAA has prepared draft information for submittal into the FTA EIS. We provided a brief on this draft EIS information last week at HNL, but the City and County of Honolulu requested to submit new mitigation plans. The discussions on the alignment proposal and new proposed mitigation that the City and County -are currently proposing will need to be finalized once the preferred alternative is selected. Our draft EIS input will also need to consider the new proposals by the City and County before we can provide our FAA input for the EIS. If Aolele St. is the preferred alternative, the runway and taxiway improvements need to all be shown on an ALP.

Hope this helps. Please call me if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Steven Wong
Program Manager
FAA, Honolulu Airports District Office
(808) 541-1225

-----"Hogan, Steven" wrote: -----
To: Steve Wong/AWP/FAA
From: "Hogan, Steven" <Hogan@pbworld.com>
Date: 03/23/2010 05:06PM
Subject: ALP Review

Hi Steve. I hope you're enjoying the beautiful Oklahoma spring weather... and that you brought a jacket.

I know you're tied up, but we're having a tough time of it here trying to figure out what happens next on the Rail project. We thought we were going to get a section to "drop into" the EIS from the FAA environmental reviewers. At least that is what Pete and Dave said when we met in LA in January. Now, Pete tells Faith the review of the ALP will take between 30 and 60 days. While we understand that may be the typical timeline, this project is doing anything but "typical". What we really want to know is what the FAA looks for in an EIS and what, if anything, we need to do to get the ALP conditionally approved. At our meeting at HDOT in January, we asked if there was anything we needed to do for the ALP and were told no. Now, we really don't know.

Can you share any insight into how we can expedite our part of the work? We would like to submit the EIS to FTA very soon, but need help making sure we cover the right things.

Thanks!

Steve Hogan
Parsons Brinckerhoff
808-768-6133
602-820-8056 cell

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is stri

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

AR00114596