

---

**From:** Barr, James (FTA)  
**To:** 'Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov'  
**CC:** bsemmer@achp.gov; Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); cvaughn@achp.gov; Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)  
**Sent:** 3/5/2010 10:44:44 AM  
**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA  
**Attachments:** NAVSTA 029.pdf

Thanks, Nancy.

We look forward to getting the doc back and wrapping up the 106 process.

There may be some subsequent changes due to airport issues, but they should not be substantive and can be taken care of within the framework of the agreement. Or, we could get the entire thing in the can and wait for Airport issue to work itself out before signing.

You will recall this recent letter from the Navy not disputing the two Makalapas and the discussion of Mason Architects. I am including it for reference.

And thanks Pua for all of your time and energy.

Best regards;

Jim

---

**From:** Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov [mailto:Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, March 05, 2010 1:53 PM  
**To:** Barr, James (FTA)  
**Cc:** bsemmer@achp.gov; Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); cvaughn@achp.gov; Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)  
**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Thank James

Just a few comments.

Page 2 Makalapa/Little Makalapa problematic here. Might want to consider a discussion with Mason Architects for more clarity here since their documents were used for both Navy and City.

Page 7 Good point also about timeframes for the phases

Page 9 III E 3a Data Recovery Suggest that this be written as the others.

The City shall prepare Data Recovery Plans and Data Recovery Reports in accordance with HAR 13-13- 278 and in consultation with appropriate parties to SHPD for review and approval.

Note in a Data Recovery Plan information on the final curation of recovered objects is stated. Therefore you can eliminate 3d.

You also list this under Section III F right below - page 9. Also stated in DRP is once work is completed it must be verified by SHPD prior to initiation of construction. So 3c can be eliminate.

3cd are in our HARs.

Page 11 SHPD will provide language?? ok

Page 18 SHPD is to rewrite this section ok

Concur with other deletions/additions and clarifications.

Nancy McMahon, Deputy State Historic Preservation Officer  
Archaeology and Historic Preservation Manager  
State Archaeologist

AR00115646

State Historic Preservation Division  
Department of Land and Natural Resources  
Kakuhihewa Building  
601 Kamokila Blvd., Suite 555  
Kapolei, Hawai'i 96707

Ph: (808) 692-8015  
Fax: (808) 692-8020  
Cell: (808) 652-1510  
nancy.a.mcmahon@hawaii.gov

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any review, use, disclosure, or distribution by unintended recipients is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

"I don't know what your destiny will be, but one thing I know: the only ones among you who will be really happy are those who have sought and found how to serve."--Albert Schweitzer

<James.Barr@dot.gov>

03/03/2010 06:42 AM

To <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>, <Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov>  
cc <cvaughn@achp.gov>, <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>, <Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>, <James.Ryan@dot.gov>, <Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov>, <bsemmer@achp.gov>, <Renee.Marler@dot.gov>, <Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov>, <elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov>, <Susan.Borinsky@dot.gov>  
Subject RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Nancy:

Attached is the most recent edit of the Draft HHCTCP 106 PA.

Pua, Blythe and FTA staff met at ACHP on March 1<sup>st</sup> to give it one last look.

It will be necessary to get updated Attachments from HTS.

You are welcome to make appropriate modifications and accept changes.

It may be necessary to consult with HTS on certain stipulations, but please do not share the entire draft with them.

Please return a clean copy to ACHP and FTA for final review.

Thank you;

Jim Barr

**From:** Barr, James (FTA)

**Sent:** Friday, February 19, 2010 9:24 AM

**To:** 'Blythe Semmer'; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov

**Cc:** Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; Charlene Vaughn; Matley, Ted (FTA); 'Young, Randall Y CIV CNRH, N00L'; Bausch, Carl (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)

**Subject:** RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Blythe and Pua:

I wanted to bring you up to date on the status of our Honolulu 106 PA.

After receiving ACHP management review and comment on the Draft 106 PA, FTA staff is still awaiting legal sufficiency review from management. Our management are concerned that the document may change substantially after FTA review and approval because of alignment issues at the airport and the status of the Makalapa Housing District.

If another alignment is chosen at the Airport, additional historic resources may be affected. We have asked HTS to identify alternative alignments that may be acceptable HDOT and FAA and any associated 106 properties. FTA staff do not believe that the choice of an alternative alignment at the Airport will require substantive changes to the Draft 106 PA.

The issue of Makalapa/Little Makalapa is more nuanced, and we need resolution from the U.S. Navy and SHPO on this matter. If any of the Signatories feel the need for a conference call on these matters please advise.

Thank you for your patience.

Best regards;

Jim

AR00115647

**From:** Blythe Semmer [mailto:bsemmer@achp.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 19, 2010 11:46 AM  
**To:** Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)  
**Cc:** Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; Charlene Vaughn  
**Subject:** ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Jim and Ted:

The ACHP has completed a thorough internal review of the PA draft provided by FTA on December 17. While the draft overall did not raise any red flags, we have noted on the attached redline a number of questions for clarification and some recommended edits. These changes are directed at developing a document that will avoid ambiguity and establish a clear process for implementation of each of the stipulations.

Please let me know if you have questions about any of our comments. We will need to see a final, revised draft to ensure that the clean document is sufficient from a legal review standpoint. After completing the legal review, we can officially endorse the PA and discuss with FTA and SHPD the next steps for executing the document.

Best regards,  
Blythe

**Blythe Semmer**

Program Analyst  
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation  
202.606.8552  
202.606.5072 fax

[attachment "FTA PA of 03022010 EAZ\_JB.doc" deleted by Nancy A McMahon/DLNR/StateHiUS]