

# *Meeting Minutes*

<b>Date:</b>	September 25, 2007			
<b>Location:</b>	SHPD Office, Kapolei			
<b>Attendees:</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Other Information</b>	
	Melanie Chinen	SHPD	Administrator	
	Lauren Morawski	SHPD	O‘ahu Archaeologist	
	Teresa Davan	SHPD	O‘ahu Assistant Archaeologist	
	James Hayes	PB		
	Matt McDermott	CSH		
<b>Meeting Minutes Distribution:</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Via</b>
	Melanie Chinen	SHPD	28-Sep-07	Email
	Lauren Morawski	SHPD	28-Sep-07	Email
	Faith Miyamoto	CCH-DTS	28-Sep-07	Email
	Susan Robbins	InfraConsult	28-Sep-07	Email
<b>Modifications</b>	None			
<b>Re-Distribute:</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Company</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Via</b>
	None			

## Discussion:

Jim and Matt presented the project in general to SHPD – discussed LPA, first project, and EIS preparation. EIS will cover entire LPA, but extensions beyond the first project will not be as detailed at this point (but will be included to catch cumulative impacts and such).

Matt reminded everyone of their discussion during AA phase – then the plan had been to do an inventory survey plan to support the DEIS, with inventory survey investigation to support the FEIS. Say all that has changed now. Jim and Matt discuss reasons for change:

- Alignment is still changing in select areas
- Location of support columns is still not set and will not be set before DEIS or probably FEIS.

Discuss that it would be premature to do an inventory survey before we have column locations more or less finalized. Therefore, we want to delay inventory surveys until a time when column locations and other areas of disturbance are more defined. During the DEIS and FEIS we would like to reach an agreement that would outline how surveys and reviews by SHPD and others would take place once the engineering reaches a level detail sufficient to perform a survey. The agreement would include survey methods (i.e. survey detail level

may be more detailed in Kaka‘ako than Pearl City), periods of review for SHPD, and additional details. Surveys could be performed in sections as engineering is developed instead of the entire corridor at once; inventory surveys would progress from west to east along the first project at least one year in advance of construction.

Melanie and others agree that this sounds like a reasonable plan at this point. They want to be sure that the engineering design is not “Final” to the point that the only mitigation available would be recovery/relocation. Jim and Matt indicate that, although the survey will not occur until a relatively final design is available, there will be room for modifications/redesign based on inventory survey findings. Redesign could include moving a column one way or the other a fair amount or using a different column geometry. However, radical measures such as moving the alignment to a different street or area would not be available.

Discuss funding for the project: both federal and county funds. Melanie says both Chapter 6(e) and Section 106 will need to be met. We agree. We have been focused on Section 106 for the NEPA EIS at this point but any agreement would state that state requirements must be complied with.

Melanie suggests taking our approach to other stakeholders soon. Also suggests using facilitators and others such as Kui Walu to connect with individuals or organizations better. We agree: we are on the OIBC agenda for this month, we are working with Kui Walu already, and our team will be meeting with these and other stakeholders early and often.

Melanie indicates that individuals and groups associated with Waikīkī and Kaka‘ako tend to be the most difficult to connect with and emphasis should be placed on those areas because finds are likely along Halekauwila, Queen, and Kona Streets plus Waikīkī. Jim indicates that it will be some time before we get around to anything in Waikīkī because it is considered an extension from the first project.

Discuss schedule:

- Groundbreaking: end of 2009
- DEIS out: June 2008
- First segment open: 2012

Jim indicates that groundbreaking will likely be something in the maintenance and storage facility (M&SF). Both M&SF options are heavily disturbed areas. We could early start the Section 106/Chapter 6(e) process for the selected M&SF so that groundbreaking would be cleared.

Melanie provides a contact for the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation: Kelly Y. Fanizzo, 202-606-8583, [jfanizzo@achp.gov](mailto:jfanizzo@achp.gov)

Melanie and others will learn more general project information during our October 9, 2007 general kickoff meeting.

END.