From: Barr, James (FTA)
To: 'Blythe Semmer'; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov
CC: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; Charlene Vaughn; Matley, Ted (FTA); 'Young, Randall Y CIV CNRH, N00L'; Bausch, Carl (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: 2/19/2010 4:24:13 AM
Subject: RE: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Blythe and Pua:
I wanted to bring you up to date on the status of our Honolulu 106 PA.
After receiving ACHP management review and comment on the Draft 106 PA, FTA staff is still awaiting legal sufficiency review from management. Our management are concerned that the document may change substantially after FTA review and approval because of alignment issues at the airport and the status of the Makalapa Housing District.
If another alignment is chosen at the Airport, additional historic resources may be affected. We have asked HTS to identify alternative alignments that may be acceptable HDOT and FAA and any associated 106 properties. FTA staff do not believe that the choice of an alternative alignment at the Airport will require substantive changes to the Draft 106 PA.
The issue of Makalapa/Little Makalapa is more nuanced, and we need resolution from the U.S. Navy and SHPO on this matter.
If any of the Signatories feel the need for a conference call on these matters please advise.
Thank you for your patience.
Best regards;
Jim

From: Blythe Semmer [mailto:bsemmer@achp.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 19, 2010 11:46 AM
To: Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)
Cc: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; Charlene Vaughn
Subject: ACHP review of Honolulu PA

Jim and Ted:

The ACHP has completed a thorough internal review of the PA draft provided by FTA on December 17. While the draft overall did not raise any red flags, we have noted on the attached redline a number of questions for clarification and some recommended edits. These changes are directed at developing a document that will avoid ambiguity and establish a clear process for implementation of each of the stipulations.

Please let me know if you have questions about any of our comments. We will need to see a final, revised draft to ensure that the clean document is sufficient from a legal review standpoint. After completing the legal review, we can officially endorse the PA and discuss with FTA and SHPD the next steps for executing the document.

Best regards,
Blythe

Blythe Semmer
Program Analyst
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
202.606.8552
202.606.5072 fax