From: Hamayasu, Toru
To: 'James.Ryan@dot.gov'
Sent: 2/10/2010 9:02:39 AM
Subject: FW: Airport Alternatives

Jim, this is what we talked about. I don't see a substantive base for Ray's demand to change alignment. We believe we sufficiently addressed the "many issues raised…" It is puzzling, particularly after they said no substantive response when I specifically asked both FAA and FTA if there are any fatal flaws in Aolele alignment. We intend to push this back.

From: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov [mailto:Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2010 2:48 PM
To: Miyamoto, Faith; Hamayasu, Toru
Cc: Ted.Matley@dot.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov
Subject: Airport Alternatives

Hi Faith,

It is becoming increasing probable that the City's preferred alternative at the airport will be unattainable. Our meeting on October 19, 2009, identified almost all of the currently existing issues. Unfortunately, your consultant has not been very accepting of these issues raised by the FAA and HDOT and now it is February. Of the many issues raised by both the FAA and HDOT representatives in October was the potential for noise impacts to the neighborhoods west of the airport. This continues to be a problem and it seems to me that a supplemental could be required since the DEIS did not address this issue. It appears that the perceived cost savings for the Aolele alternative is no longer a possibility.

Now that feasible alternatives (Ualena and Koapaka) have been identified, please provide a draft of how these alternatives could be portrayed in the FEIS. It is apparent that both of these alternatives have lesser impacts to Keehi Lagoon Park than the Aolele alignment. In particular, please provide an assessment of the Section 106 impacts for both of these alignments so we can determine if we are ready to finalize the PA or amend the PA for either of these alignments.

Thank you,

Ray