
From: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov
To: Miyamoto, Faith
CC: Edward.Carranza@dot.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Hamayasu, Toru
Sent: 12/9/2009 8:15:03 AM
Subject: RE: FTA response to response to comments

Faith, we have at least 5 major issues, 1) PA, 2) Airport RPZs, 3) 4(f), 4) signature authority and 5) response to comments. Your avoidance option for the park in figure 5-5 is a logical approach for 2 reasons. It avoids the RPZ at the airport and avoids the 4f resource. Further delay in resolving this airport issue just delays FTA's final review of the document. Ray

From: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 11:14 AM
To: fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov
Cc: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Barr, James (FTA)
Subject: FTA response to response to comments

Hi Faith,

Attached are FTA responses to your response to our comments sent at the end of October/early November.

They address Chapter 4 and the 4(f) Chapter. We had previously discussed Chapter 2 and I believe that is resolved.

The only issue not addressed is the Ke'ehi Lagoon Park. We are finalizing our position on that and will communicate that to you soon. We will also be providing you soon with comments on some of your proposed public comment response letters.

We have no other comments at this time.

At this time, please use this material as guidance in the development of a revised draft FEIS which you can submit to us. That document should also include material as necessary to address the airport issue as was outlined in other FTA correspondence. We are looking to tie everything up in one more submission of a complete FEIS draft that will under go our final review.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Ted