From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
To: Day, Elizabeth (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); 'Mantych, Timothy'; Luu, Catherine (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
CC: 'Tsiforas, Bill (RTC NV)'; Carranza, Edward (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Sent: 11/30/2009 11:00:20 AM
Subject: RE: Honolulu Update including Alignment

I think the PMOC should avoid giving NEPA recommendations without consulting or including Region 9. The last PMOC boxed the FTA into many of the schedule issues and we must avoid having this happen again.

From: Day, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Monday, November 30, 2009 12:16 PM
To: Tahir, Nadeem (FTA); 'Mantych, Timothy'; Luu, Catherine (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
Cc: 'Tsiforas, Bill (RTC NV)'; Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Subject: RE: Honolulu Update including Alignment

Hi everyone
Just a friendly reminder to include everyone that is part of the New Starts team on these emails particularly Jim Ryan. Please ask the PMOC to do the same when they send information to FTA.

The email below from the PMOC mentions a performance bond waiver request. When did we receive it and what does it request? Although our circular mentions that the RA makes the determination on whether to grant performance bond waivers, you might want to know that we have been discussing other recent New Starts project performance bond waiver requests with TOA (Houston, Denver) to get Peter's opinion before proceeding.

Thanks,
Beth

From: Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)
Sent: Sunday, November 29, 2009 8:23 PM
To: Mantych, Timothy; Luu, Catherine (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA)
Cc: Tsiforas, Bill (RTC NV); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Luu, Catherine (FTA)
Subject: RE: Honolulu Update including Alignment

Tim: Thanks for the update. Lets see how these proposed alignments are configured and I agree they can be conceptual but the plan profiles need to be pretty accurate to make sure they work. The exact locations of columns and any bents may not be critical. It should provide enough information for parametric costs and for any feis mitigations.

From: Mantych, Timothy [mailto:Timothy.Mantych@jacobs.com]
Sent: Sun 11/29/2009 3:59 PM
To: Luu, Catherine (FTA); Nguyen, Kim (FTA); Tahir, Nadeem (FTA)
Cc: Tsiforas, Bill (RTC NV)
Subject: Honolulu Update

Cathy/Kim - I wanted to provide you with an update on a few items:

(1) The City has issued RFP Part I for the Kamehameha Highway Guideway DB Contract. Proposals are due December 23, 2009. We have not heard whether there had been any final resolution between FTA regional counsel and City Corporation Counsel regarding our ability to receive and review RFP Part II documents. If this is a possibility, it would be beneficial to do so soon. In particular, we are anxious to review the Core Systems documents. Both our vehicle expert and systems experts should see those documents to make sure we understand how the City intends to procure, build, and operate this system.

(2) We held a conference call with Khalil Allen and Harvey Berliner of InfraConsult on November 23, 2009 to discuss Safety and Security. The call included Dorothy Schulz and Dennis Newman of Interactive Elements Inc., our subcontractor. Following the call, the City provided us with:

- SSMP Rev. 1.0, dated August 24, 2009
- FTA PMOC Comments to SSMP Rev. 1.0
- PMP Rev. 2.0, dated March 1, 2009

It was noted that the City is updating both the SSMP and PMP. The target date for submission of SSMP Rev. 2.0 to the PMOC is January 8, 2010.

We discussed having a Safety and Security Workshop in March 2010 to coincide with a SSORC Meeting. There would be benefit if representatives from both the region and HQ were able to participate.

(3) Toru called me on November 23, 2009 to discuss the airport issue. PB is looking at two alternative alignments as a mitigation measure for the runway protection zone (RPZ) issue. The two alternates are as follows (below grade is not an option for the City):

- Guideway would follow current alignment but would turn north of airport property east of station and west of RPZ. Guideway would turn east and travel along Koapaka Street. Guideway would then reconnect with original alignment near Lagoon Park. PB is confirming that this is outside of the RPZ.
- Guideway would run continuously along Koapaka Street. There would be a "spur" similar to that for BART at SFO. However, the guideway would be stacked to minimize footprint. Frankly, I need to see this on a plan to understand the concept.

Toru indicated that the FEIS could not be published until this issue is addressed. PB is preparing plans for our review. It is likely that only one of the above proposed solutions will be presented for our review. It is anticipated that these plans could be ready by the end of this week. He asked what level of detail we would expect. I said they could be conceptual but must have enough detail to help identify any environmental issues and support a cost estimate. Upon receipt of the plans, and with your direction, we will proceed with the review. I do not anticipate that such a review would require significant time. However, it would be prudent to have our airport experts confirm PB's assumptions with regard to the RPZ.

(4) I am waiting for comments from Renee to complete preparation of the DRAFT PE Kickoff Meeting Report. Once I receive her comments, I can forward the DRAFT report to the City for their review.

(5) We are working on the brief Spot Report on the issue of the 50% performance bond request. We should have a DRAFT available this week.

(6) We received the full Task Order for this assignment from FTA Procurement on November 18, 2009. We are preparing a Task Order Implementation Plan. At some point in the near term, I would like to have a discussion on the best approach for this assignment.

Look Ahead

- December 9-11, 2009 - The City is holding an initial Operations Peer Review. The panel includes Bob Lingwood (former President and CEO of BC Transit), Mike Cannell (GM for Rail Operations at LA Metro), and Paul Oversier (BART Assistant GM of Operations). I will forward the email from Simon that contains their draft agenda for the panel. Unfortunately, Bill and I must be in DC for the PMO Contract Workshop at the same time, otherwise one of us would consider attending. We do have some suggestions for their agenda, and we will request a copy of the minutes.
- December 16, 2009 – Conference call with City to discuss project status (agenda will be prepared this week)
- January 12-13, 2010 – Monthly Progress Meeting
- February 2010 (date TBD) – Monthly Progress Meeting and Real Estate Workshop with Bob Merryman of OR Colan (and Mamie if she is available).
- March 2010 (date TBD) – Monthly Progress Meeting and Safety and Security Workshop

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Timothy L. Mantych, P.E. (MO, IL)
Jacobs
FTA PMOC Program Manager
501 North Broadway
St. Louis, MO 63102
Phone: 314.335.4454
Mobile: 314.614.1386
tim.mantych@jacobs.com