
From: Frank_Hays@nps.gov
To: Miyamoto, Faith
CC: Elaine_Jackson-Retondo@nps.gov
Sent: 11/18/2009 9:38:08 AM
Subject: Re: Honolulu Rail Project Drilled Shaft Load Test Program

A couple of comments as we just talked about:

- 1) PA needs to be reviewed again and edited to show that all reports and other materials produced as part of the PA will be made available to all consulting parties for review-for example on p. 11 item B.4. the PA says CLR's will be forwarded to SHPD for review. It should say "forwarded to consulting parties for review". There appears to be other examples in the PA where this type of change should be made.
- 2) We reiterate, as was expressed and agreed to at the last meeting, that all maps be updated and corrected and the overlay districts be included as attachments to the PA.
- 3) On page 7 II.B.4 we think specific protocols should be developed and included in the PA. It will be beneficial if the City is able to work out the archaeological survey issues with OIBC.
- 4) On page 9, II.E2b who will city consult with about the relocation of the guideway columns, and what is meant by limited distance. Also, Jim Barr from FTA seemed to indicate that putting the rail at grade in certain areas to minimize the depth of excavation was a viable alternative-if so, this should be included as a viable avoidance measure in the PA that will be considered as applicable.
- 5) On page 11 V.C the sentence that the HABS/HAER documentation will be competed prior to construction was left out of the PA.

Other NPS questions/comments were addressed at the last meeting and we look forward to seeing those changes incorporated into the revised PA.

Let me know if you have any questions on our comments.

best,
Frank

Frank Hays
Pacific Area Director
Pacific West Region, Honolulu
300 Ala Moana Blvd
Box 50165, Suite 6-226
Honolulu, HI 96850
808-541-2693 ext. 723
808-541-3696 Fax