From: Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA); Weeks, Dwayne (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)
CC: Marler, Renee (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Sent: 11/17/2009 1:27:39 PM
Subject: RE: BART OAC - FAA update

If FAA is a cooperating agency that means that FAA doesn't have to do a separate environmental review, which would save time. But that in itself does not resolve FTA's concerns about the alignment and mitigations for the project on/near the airport, does it? Wouldn't we still want a stronger statement (not just an agreement to do further study) from FAA/HDOT about what will constitute the project in that area? If I understood Chris's points today, additional FTA NEPA work is required whether Honolulu chooses option 1) a better definition of the project and mitigations on the airport property, or option 2) another alignment. Susan

---

From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 2:26 PM
To: Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA); Weeks, Dwayne (FTA); Steinmann, Richard (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA)
Cc: Marler, Renee (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA); Bredehoft, Audrey (FTA); Lerman, Lorraine (FTA)
Subject: BART OAC - FAA update

While were in our call, the FAA contact on this just left me a message that he was in consultation with others in his office and they were looking to avoid the reissuance of the FEIS. He said that the FAA is considering a finding that they were effectively a cooperating agency despite not being listed in the document as a cooperating agency.

This may work out and I will keep you posted.

Ray