

---

**From:** Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov  
**To:** Miyamoto, Faith; Hamayasu, Toru  
**CC:** James.Barr@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov  
**Sent:** 11/12/2009 1:11:28 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Meeting tomorrow

Faith,

Like Ted has said, FTA will not demand that the co-signers conclude their review. It is the City's responsibility to figure out how to satisfactorily resolve the issues and ultimately gain agreement among the co-signers. Since this is part of your critical path, I suggest that the City raise the ante and bring in a "closer" to finally conclude the deal. My recommendation is for someone of high enough stature that brings confidence to the signers that the deal will meet the full faith and credit of the City combined with a forceful personality that awes the participants into signing. So far your negotiating team has done a good job to get this far but now you need someone who will wrap this up, someone like your Mayor possibly.

Ray

---

**From:** Matley, Ted (FTA)  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 12, 2009 1:40 PM  
**To:** Miyamoto, Faith  
**Cc:** Barr, James (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**Subject:** Meeting tomorrow

Faith, thinking about tomorrow's agenda, I'd focus on:

1. Discussing the changes to the document since the last meeting (recap and discussion)
2. Discussion of any new comments
3. Discussion of next steps/process to conclude consultation and finalize document

I'd avoid putting "Final Statements" on the agenda. First, it implies that we've decided that we're done with the consultation, at least with the consulting parties. That may be the case (we hope it's the case) but lets not present that as predetermined. Second, going around for "final statements" is just going to be an opportunity for those who are unsatisfied to have a soapbox. We don't need that; it's more likely to just raise issues we've resolved.

Ted

*Ted M Matley  
FTA Region IX  
201 Mission Street, Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 744-2590  
fax (415) 744-2726  
ted.matley@dot.gov*