
From: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov
To: Kiersten Faulkner
CC: bsemmer@achp.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov; pua.aiu@hawaii.gov; betsy_merritt@nthp.org; Miyamoto, Faith; elaine_Jackson-Retondo@nps.gov; Kiersten Faulkner
Sent: 10/30/2009 1:57:31 PM
Subject: Re: Honolulu Transit Section 106 and Navy lands

No one in my office has checked.

-----Kiersten Faulkner <kiersten@historichawaii.org> wrote: -----

To: bsemmer@achp.gov, James.Barr@dot.gov, pua.aiu@hawaii.gov
From: Kiersten Faulkner <kiersten@historichawaii.org>
Date: 10/30/2009 06:47AM
cc: betsy_merritt@nthp.org, fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov, elaine_Jackson-Retondo@nps.gov, Kiersten Faulkner <kiersten@historichawaii.org>
Subject: Honolulu Transit Section 106 and Navy lands

Blythe, Jim and Pua:

In reviewing the outstanding issues related to the Honolulu Rapid Transit project and Section 106, I have a note that says Navy may be subject to its own Section 106 requirements for this project. I believe the question was whether or not the corridor transects federal land and if Navy is conveying a right of access.

Has anyone checked the title for the right of way that transects Pearl Harbor and the mauka lands (CINCPAC, Little Makalapa, etc.)? Has the issue of a Navy undertaking been checked and resolved? Can someone document the outcome for me, please?

Thank you,
Kiersten Faulkner
Historic Hawaii Foundation