From: Carranza, Edward (FTA)
To: Day, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: 10/28/2009 9:38:09 AM
Subject: RE: Letter to Honolulu on eligible activity

Beth: Why are you suggesting to delete the 9/2/09 Final Guidance statement? We have been preaching that requirement to the Honolulu folks as one of our pivot requirements, and is quite clear with it's pre-award authority/LONP guidance. I'd suggest to reinsert it.

From: Day, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 12:18 PM
To: VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Barr, James (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Subject: RE: Letter to Honolulu on eligible activity

Hi everyone
I also started editing what is now an older version of the letter. I attached it here and hope someone on the team can consolidate all the comments received into one document for a final look through by everyone. I have re-written the draft letter to include the information from the Penta P notice in pertinent part. As has been mentioned several times already to everyone on this email chain, the information regarding design-build contracting and NEPA written in the Penta P notice is our policy on this for New Starts projects. It needs to be expressly stated in this letter to Honolulu.

From: VanWyk, Christopher (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 2:26 PM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Subject: RE: Letter to Honolulu on eligible activity

Ted,

See attached for comments from Nancy-Ellen and I (unfortunately, this is on the last version, not the most recent one you just sent around). Like Joe, I too think that we need to address the interplay of NEPA with design-build contracting to ensure that they understand, prior to executing a contract, what exactly would be required if they were to execute that contract prior to conclusion of NEPA. As this letter stands now, it provides little guidance as to restrictions that must be in place were they to award a contract prior to conclusion of NEPA. I also mentioned this in an inserted comment in this document.

Thanks,
Chris

From: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2009 2:16 PM
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Subject: RE: Letter to Honolulu on eligible activity

All,

Attached is the latest version (now titled 1.0) of the Honolulu clarification on eligible activity letter, as of Wednesday morning (Pacific Time) 10/28.

This version included the latest minor revisions provided by Region IX staff this morning.

Please expedite your review and comment as much as possible as our goal is to distribute this letter prior to our meetings in Honolulu on these topics next Tuesday, 11/3/09.

Please send any comments to me.

Thanks,

Ted Matley
Region IX

From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2009 4:39 PM
To: Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Subject: Letter to Honolulu on eligible activity

Please review and comment. I will be out of the office tomorrow and Ted will collect comments.

Thank you, Ray