

---

**From:** Barr, James (FTA)  
**To:** Matley, Ted (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)  
**CC:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**Sent:** 9/3/2009 10:09:13 AM  
**Subject:** RE: draft of letter OIBC

Ted:  
I think we should figure a call.  
Half and hour max.  
Can you set it up?

---

**From:** Matley, Ted (FTA)  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 03, 2009 3:47 PM  
**To:** Barr, James (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)  
**Cc:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**Subject:** RE: draft of letter OIBC

So what's the process for conferring with ACHP and SHPD on this decision? Call, letter, email?

---

**From:** Barr, James (FTA)  
**Sent:** Thu 9/3/2009 9:49 AM  
**To:** Matley, Ted (FTA); Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)  
**Cc:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**Subject:** RE: draft of letter OIBC

OK  
Barrister for a day!

---

**From:** Matley, Ted (FTA)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 02, 2009 7:57 PM  
**To:** Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)  
**Cc:** Sukys, Raymond (FTA)  
**Subject:** draft of letter OIBC

Gentlemen, attached is a draft of a letter to the OIBC on our denial of their request to be an invited signatory. Also attached is their request letter. Please provide comments.

Also, maybe you guys can clear something up. The draft PA from Honolulu has three categories: Signatories (also listed in the opening paragraph as the parties to the agreement) which are FTA, ACHP, and SHPD; Invited Signatories, which are the Navy, the NPS, and the City/County of Honolulu; and concurring parties. Are there 3 categories? Or is it just 2, parties to the agreement/signatories and concurring parties?

Thanks,

*Ted M Matley  
FTA Region IX  
201 Mission Street, Suite 1650  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 744-2590  
fax (415) 744-2726  
ted.matley@dot.gov*