

---

**From:** Scheibe, Mark  
**To:** James.Ryan@dot.gov  
**Sent:** 10/20/2009 8:20:52 AM  
**Subject:** RE: Revised letter CCH to FTA

Jim,

Thank you for your help. The meeting with FAA and HDOT went well yesterday. Everyone agreed, with Ray's facilitation, to some changes in the letter that the City had prepared. The revised letter should be out today and the FAA staffer has promised to countersign it right away. We're agreeing to do a study, post-ROD, to verify that "relocating" the runways is feasible, cost-effective and doesn't foreclose future airport plans. While the consensus is that the study will conclude that the runway relocation is the way to go, if it turns out to not be we'll look at modifying the rail alignment, with whatever supplemental environmental processing that may require.

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: James.Ryan@dot.gov [mailto:James.Ryan@dot.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, October 20, 2009 6:43 AM  
To: Scheibe, Mark  
Subject: RE: Revised letter CCH to FTA

How did it go yesterday? We haven't heard anything through FTA channels. I conveyed your dismay to Susan Borinsky (Assoc. Admin. for Planning and Envir.) and we convened a conflag with environmental folks here in DC and Ed Carranza from the region. Ray was in the air at the time. The result was an e-mail from Susan to everyone involved saying that our preference is a letter from FAA but that we would settle for a letter from the City with a signature block for FAA concurrence.

Did any of that make it through to the meeting?

I hate this but, no doubt, you folks hate it more than I do.

---

From: Scheibe, Mark [mailto:Scheibe@pbworld.com]  
Sent: Sat 10/17/2009 6:03 PM  
To: Ryan, James (FTA)  
Subject: Fw: Revised letter CCH to FTA

Jim,

Do we need to start taping phone calls? I believe that Ed Carranza was quite clear in saying that FAA concurrence on a City letter was acceptable to FTA

Mark H. Scheibe  
Parsons Brinckerhoff  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

---

From: Hogan, Steven  
To: Dunn, James; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Van Epps, James; Scheibe, Mark; 'fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov'; Garrity, Mark  
Sent: Sat Oct 17 17:47:29 2009  
Subject: Fw: Revised letter CCH to FTA

AR00125147

This certainly makes it hard to know which way to turn. I am at a total loss...

-----  
Steve Hogan

---

From: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov  
To: Hogan, Steven  
Sent: Sat Oct 17 17:44:17 2009  
Subject: Re: Revised letter CCH to FTA

Nothing was established. I think you have to not think someone is agreeing with you if they are not willing to battle you at the moment.

---

From: Hogan, Steven <Hogan@pbworld.com>  
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Dunn, James <DunnJ@pbworld.com>; steve.wong@faa.gov <steve.wong@faa.gov>; lynn.becones@hawaii.gov <lynn.becones@hawaii.gov>; guy.ichinotsubo@hawaii.gov <guy.ichinotsubo@hawaii.gov>; jeff.chang@hawaii.gov <jeff.chang@hawaii.gov>  
Cc: Scheibe, Mark <Scheibe@pbworld.com>; Garrity, Mark <Garrity@pbworld.com>; fmiyamoto@co.honolulu.hi.us <fmiyamoto@co.honolulu.hi.us>; thamayasu@honolulu.gov <thamayasu@honolulu.gov>; Carranza, Edward (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Ryan, James (FTA)  
Sent: Sat Oct 17 14:34:47 2009  
Subject: Re: Revised letter CCH to FTA

Ray, during the conference call last week (October 7th), we established that a concurrence by the FAA on the proposed course of action described in a City letter would be appropriate. That is the direction we've taken in the interest of expediting this process.

-----  
Steve Hogan

---

From: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov  
To: Dunn, James; steve.wong@faa.gov ; lynn.becones@hawaii.gov ; guy.ichinotsubo@hawaii.gov ; jeff.chang@hawaii.gov  
Cc: Hogan, Steven; Scheibe, Mark; Garrity, Mark; fmiyamoto@co.honolulu.hi.us ; thamayasu@honolulu.gov ; Edward.Carranza@dot.gov ; James.Barr@dot.gov ; Ted.Matley@dot.gov ; James.Ryan@dot.gov  
Sent: Sat Oct 17 14:06:23 2009  
Subject: Re: Revised letter CCH to FTA

I don't think this letter should be signed at the meeting since FTA is expecting a letter from the FAA. Also, I think it would be a good idea for the project sponsors to give FTA a chance to review the content to see if this approach could work for us despite my misgivings about this approach.

Ray

---

From: Dunn, James <DunnJ@pbworld.com>  
To: steve.wong@faa.gov <steve.wong@faa.gov>; lynn.becones@hawaii.gov <lynn.becones@hawaii.gov>; guy.ichinotsubo@hawaii.gov <guy.ichinotsubo@hawaii.gov>; jeff.chang@hawaii.gov <jeff.chang@hawaii.gov>  
Cc: Hogan, Steven <Hogan@pbworld.com>; Scheibe, Mark <Scheibe@pbworld.com>; Garrity, Mark <Garrity@pbworld.com>; Sukys, Raymond (FTA); fmiyamoto@co.honolulu.hi.us <fmiyamoto@co.honolulu.hi.us>; Hamayasu, Toru <thamayasu@honolulu.gov>  
Sent: Fri Oct 16 18:28:53 2009

AR00125148

Subject: Revised letter CCH to FTA

All,

Again thanks for your participation in this morning telephone conference call.

Attached is a revised letter based upon the comments we received this morning. Please feel free to share this letter with others within your organization. Our intent is to have this letter available for Steve Wong concurrence in time for his meeting with FTA, on Monday afternoon, October 19. This letter has been signed to indicate CCH has approved the content, however the letter has not been formally sent to the FTA pending your final review.

Mr. Ray Sukys: Steve Wong asked that we include you on this e-mail to solicit your input prior to your meeting Monday to assure this letter meets your expectations.

Jim Dunn

Design Manager

808 694 3220

---

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

---

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

---

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

AR00125149