From: Harr, Tricia (FTA)
To: Grasty, Katie (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Quagliata, Antoinette (FTA); Blum, Megan (FTA); Cole, Faith (FTA)
Sent: 5/18/2010 7:07:46 AM
Subject: RE: REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO COMMENTS...

I've read about four of their responses, and I would estimate 40-50% of the time they do not adequately address the comment: they either skirt the issue completely, or address the issue, but it needs more explanation (ie., cite the regs, etc.) Each response has about 5-6 issues, so they will only adequately address 2-3. Certain issues also seem to be reappearing, such as cost of project, parking, not enough time to respond to DEIS.

Tricia

From: Grasty, Katie (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 12:57 PM
To: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Quagliata, Antoinette (FTA); Blum, Megan (FTA); Harr, Tricia (FTA); Cole, Faith (FTA)
Subject: RE: REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO COMMENTS...

I will guess 4 issues need a good deal more explanation. I have reviewed 2 comments

Katie Grasty
Environmental Protection Specialist
Office of Planning and Environment
Federal Transit Administration
U.S. Department of Transportation
Office: (202) 366-9139
katie.grasty@dot.gov
www.fta.dot.gov

From: Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, May 18, 2010 12:54 PM
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Quagliata, Antoinette (FTA); Blum, Megan (FTA); Harr, Tricia (FTA); Cole, Faith (FTA); Grasty, Katie (FTA)
Subject: REVIEW OF RESPONSES TO COMMENTS...

For purposes of being able to tell the Administrator how far off the mark the City is, could you all guesstimate (I'm not looking for precise numbers) how many of the City's responses to the total number of issues each of you has reviewed were basically unresponsive and have to be re-visited by the City; it will be very helpful to the pitch I'll have to make to the Administrator. Many thanks.