

---

**From:** Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov  
**To:** Miyamoto, Faith; toru.hamayasu@honolulu.gov  
**CC:** James.Barr@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Catherine.Luu@dot.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov; Edward.Carranza@dot.gov  
**Sent:** 12/22/2009 6:19:07 AM  
**Subject:** Items that we need information on

Faith,

The airport call yesterday made it clear that we do not have enough enough information on the feasibility of the section 4(f) avoidance option. We have yet to receive anything in writing, other than the limited explanation in the FEIS, that would explain why it should not be an alternative. Please provide us with an explanation of the alignment issues and impacts, describe the constructibility issues, list the property acquisitions, describe the business relocations, and give the details of your cost-estimate. Last October the marginal difference in cost was \$70M, now it is \$100M, please provide an explanation. If we are to proceed with the decision to maintain the alignment as described in the FEIS, FTA needs additional information to support our Section 4(f) decision.

Please provide an explanation of the limitations of the Navy Drum site for the design of the facility. What is the schedule and, if applicable, the results of the Phase 1 and 2? It seems that you should have a Phase 1 by now. Please send it.

Please provide the language in your Kiewit contract about how you will evaluate billing, the standards that are in place to pay an invoice, to ensure that NEPA-related activities are conducted and not construction-related activities such as mobilization during NTP number 1. I do not understand how \$27M can be spent prior to the ROD.

Thank you,

Ray