From: Hamayasu, Toru
To: 'Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov'; Miyamoto, Faith
CC: Edward.Carranza@dot.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; 'Hogan, Steven'
Sent: 12/10/2009 4:15:14 PM
Subject: RE: FAA approvals needed

Ray,

We obviously have issues with your note and we would like to discuss this in more detail tomorrow. Faith and Steve will coordinate.

From: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov [mailto:Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov]
Sent: Thursday, December 10, 2009 4:07 PM
To: Miyamoto, Faith
Cc: Edward.Carranza@dot.gov; James.Barr@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Hamayasu, Toru
Subject: FAA approvals needed

Faith and Toru,

FTA's call today with the FAA and HDOT raised serious issues for the release of the FEIS. FAA approval, including a NEPA finding, is required for any use of airport property and it appears that FAA will need to become a cooperating agency. HDOT staff continue to raise questions as to why the airport would give up eligible uses for runways 22R and 22L and thus restrict future options as they did in my October 19 meeting at the airport. FAA indicated that HDOT does not have sole authority to make changes in the use of runways and FAA approval is required. FAA must approve the location of any station or alignment on airport property. FTA will continue to coordinate with FAA to determine a path forward.

Ray

From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
To: fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>
Cc: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Barr, James (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Hamayasu, Toru <thamayasu@honolulu.gov>
Sent: Wed Dec 09 13:15:03 2009
Subject: RE: FTA response to response to comments

Faith, we have at least 5 major issues, 1) PA, 2) Airport RPZs, 3) 4(f), 4) signature authority and 5) response to comments. Your avoidance option for the park in figure 5-5 is a logical approach for 2 reasons. It avoids the RPZ at the airport and avoids the 4f resource. Further delay in resolving this airport issue just delays FTA's final review of the document. Ray

---

From: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, December 08, 2009 11:14 AM
To: fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov
Cc: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Barr, James (FTA)
Subject: FTA response to response to comments

Hi Faith,

Attached are FTA responses to your response to our comments sent at the end of October/early November.

They address Chapter 4 and the 4(f) Chapter. We had previously discussed Chapter 2 and I believe that is resolved.

The only issue not addressed is the Ke'ehi Lagoon Park. We are finalizing our position on that and will communicate that to you soon. We will also be providing you soon with comments on some of your proposed public comment response letters.

We have no other comments at this time.

At this time, please use this material as guidance in the development of a revised draft FEIS which you can submit to us. That document should also include material as necessary to address the airport issue as was outlined in other FTA correspondence. We are looking to tie everything up in one more submission of a complete FEIS draft that will under go our final review.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Ted