

From: Scheibe, Mark
To: Hamayasu, Toru
Sent: 8/6/2009 3:53:58 PM
Subject: FW: TRANSIT RAIL QUESTION

Redacted

----- Original Message -----

From: Maurice T Morita
To: patlee@hawaii.rr.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 04, 2009 11:01 AM
Subject: TRANSIT RAIL QUESTION

Hi Pat, Clyde Hayashi attended a meeting this morning but after the meeting, the speaker, Professor Karl Kim, asked him why the construction unions are not pushing "at grade" instead of "elevated" because "at grade" will create more jobs for the construction industry.

Question #1: Is it too late to change from "elevated" to "at grade". We are not agreeing with Karl Kim, but if we are going to give correct information, we want to be sure its right. We support the "elevated" system as planned.

Question #2: What would be be the consequences if it was changed from "elevated" to "at grade"?

Question #3: Would the federal funding of \$1 billion be affected, would we lose it with any delays?

Thanks. Can you follow up with Wes Mott about our follow up meeting. I did talk to him and sent him information via email as he requested. I have not heard from him. We would like to meet with him.

Thanks Maurice Morita

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

AR00131198