From: Miyamoto, Faith
To: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Foell, Stephanie; Zaref, Amy
CC: Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov
Sent: 1/3/2011 9:59:49 AM
Subject: RE: Honolulu PA - Teleconference Request January 3rd

Hi Liz –

We have someone from PB taking meeting notes.

Faith

From: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov [mailto:elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 9:47 AM
To: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov; Miyamoto, Faith; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Foell@pbworld.com; Zaref, Amy
Cc: Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Honolulu PA - Teleconference Request January 3rd

Faith,

I would also request that someone from PB or infraconsult takes meeting notes for today's call.

Thank you,

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko
Federal Transit Administration

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 1:28 PM
To: 'Miyamoto, Faith'; 'Spurgeon@pbworld.com'; 'Judy Aranda'; Foell, Stephanie; 'Zaref, Amy'
Cc: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Subject: FW: Honolulu PA - Teleconference Request January 3rd

Hello Faith,

I just got off the phone with Elaine from NPS. She is working on a briefing paper for regional director on the PA. In her recent review of the PA, she said she had a question on how artifacts found during the investigations in the project would be curated. I was thinking that it was mentioned in the PA through the development of AIS plans. Could you take a look at the PA and be prepared to address her question how curation would be handled? If needed, identify some additional text to clarify curation process.

Thank you,

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko
Federal Transit Administration

From: spencer.leineweber@gmail.com [mailto:spencer.leineweber@gmail.com] **On Behalf Of** Spencer Leineweber
Sent: Monday, January 03, 2011 1:05 PM
To: Miyamoto, Faith
Cc: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Rogers, Leslie (FTA); Aranda@infraconsultllc.com;

Zaref, Amy; Carranza, Edward (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); rnelson@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA); jeff@jn-architects.com; amy@aiahonolulu.org; chazinhawaii@aol.com; sherry_campagna@hotmail.com; frank_hays@nps.gov; elaine_jackson-retondo@nps.gov; Melia_Lane-Kamahele@nps.gov; taahine.hina@gmail.com; keabad@ksbe.edu; kawikam@hawaii.rr.com; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; bsemmer@achp.gov; deepak@hcdaweb.org; keolal@oha.org; malamapono@aol.com; lani@aukahi.com; brian_turner@nthp.org; elizabeth_merritt@nthp.org; pamela.takara@navy.mil; Ware, Terrance; mmcdermott@culturalsurveys.com; arakimataemon@aol.com; wendy@historichawaii.org; eileen.dandrea@navy.mil; randall.y.young@navy.mil; david.m.sullivan1@navy.mil; Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov; LBrodnitz@achp.gov; halealoha@wave.hicv.net; Yoshioka, Wayne; Hogan, Steve; Spurgeon, Lawrence; Souki, Jesse K.; foell@pbworld.com; gilliland@pbworld.com; Patterson, Kaleo; Trisha Kehaulani Watson; Nalani Dahl; Van Epps, Jim; john.muraoka@navy.mil
Subject: Re: Honolulu PA - Teleconference Request January 3rd

Faith,

Thank you for setting up the PA discussion today. I have many concerns still but would like to just bring up one before the meeting as it has a visual which might help in the discussions. Stipulation IV Design Standards notes the use of 36 CFR Part 68 will be used in the design of the stations. [although the title of this CFR is wrong in the PA].

The consulting parties get a 30 day review of final design plans. This may be not enough time to have any positive impact at all in the design as all the engineering is complete. The consulting parties should review preliminary design plans so comments can be responded to appropriately without having to redo all the engineering.

The AIA has done a simulation from available information of the station between between two eligible historic structures the Dillingham Transportation Building and the HECO power plant. This design certainly does not meet 36CFR 68. The consulting parties should have commenting powers at this level of design as well as final drawings.

Talk with you all later today.

Spencer Leineweber