

---

**From:** elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov  
**To:** Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov  
**CC:** bsemmer@achp.gov; Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov;  
cvaughn@achp.gov; elaine\_jackson-retondo@nps.gov; Miyamoto, Faith; Frank\_Hays@nps.gov;  
Jeffrey\_Durbin@nps.gov; john.muraoka@navy.mil; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov;  
Robyn.Sinquefield@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov  
**Sent:** 10/26/2010 2:19:33 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Transit Programmatic Agreement

Hello Laura,

Thank you for your email. We agree with the advice provided by the ACHP and seconded by your office that we should circulate the draft PA for specific comment to the consulting parties.

Last week, either before or after your call with the ACHP and NPS, the Blythe provided some general suggestions on the cumulative effects language in the PA. I have revised it slightly to clarify the section and reflect her comments on including a specific process for resolving an indentified indirect or cumulative effect. I tried to link a specific process to what was already outlined in other sections of the PA, specifically I.G.j. See the language below. Edits are highlighted in yellow. I have shared these edits with Blythe and the City.

Please let me know if you have questions on the edits or other parts of the PA.

Thank you,

Liz

Section IX. Measures to address reasonably foreseeable indirect and cumulative effects caused by the project.

*If any signatory to this PA finds during the duration specified in stipulation XIV.D or within six months of full operation of the entire project (whichever is earlier), that there is likely to be an adverse indirect or cumulative effect on a resource determined eligible for the National Register as part of the Section 106 process within the APE for this project and that the adverse effect was not evaluated in this PA or the FEIS, that signatory shall notify FTA or follow the procedures identified in Stipulation I.G.j.*

*If consulting parties identify during the duration of this PA or within six months of full operation of the entire project (whichever is earlier) an adverse indirect or cumulative effect on a resource determined eligible for the National Register as part of the Section 106 process within the APE for this project and that adverse effect was not evaluated in this PA or the FEIS, the consulting party shall follow procedures identified in Stipulation I.G.j.*

*Upon such notification, FTA will call a meeting of the consulting parties to discuss what next steps would be appropriate under the new circumstances to mitigate the effects on such resources.*

*After the process described in Appendix A with the Signatories, Invited Signatories, and appropriate concurring parties, if FTA determines that there would be an adverse indirect or cumulative effect not previously evaluated in the PA or FEIS, then the City, in coordination with the Kako'o, shall consider measures with respect to the Project to avoid, mitigate or minimize such effects. The City shall share written documentation of this consideration with consulting parties. Consulting parties shall have 30 days to review and comment on this documentation. The City, in coordination with the Kako'o and the FTA, shall consider comments made by consulting parties. The City shall share its final decision on measures to avoid, mitigate, or minimize these adverse effects with consulting parties as described in Stipulation XIV.E.*

Elizabeth Zelasko  
Federal Transit Administration

---

**From:** Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov [mailto:Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, October 21, 2010 3:40 PM

**To:** Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)

**Cc:** bsemmer@achp.gov; Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); cvaughn@achp.gov; elaine\_jackson-retondo@nps.gov; fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov; Frank\_Hays@nps.gov; Jeffrey\_Durbin@nps.gov; john.muraoka@navy.mil; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Marler, Renee (FTA); Sinquefield, Robyn (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)

**Subject:** RE: Honolulu Transit Programmatic Agreement

Aloha Liz and everyone,

SHPD, NPS and ACHP held a call to discuss the most recent draft PA. At this point we think we can circulate our comments on that draft in writing and do not need a conference call. As agreed earlier, we will get our comments to you by Friday, October 29. We will wait to hear back from you after then on whether the group needs to hold a call at that point or if we can finalize the draft PA.

I understand that ACHP has provided you with a letter stating that the final draft should be circulated to the consulting parties for final review and comment prior to signature. I agree with this position, and understand that was the intent during the consultation process. I also understand that some folks may feel the need to change the original intent due to timing and may be pushing to stop that last round of consultation. I believe that would be penny wise and pound foolish.

The draft PA has changed with multiple technical amendments, the two substantial amendments we had requested, and may also change on the third topic we are finalizing now. I think the consulting parties would feel offended if they were not given the courtesy to comment on those changes, especially if they are aware that earlier conversations did intend to run the final draft by them prior to signature.

The PA requires much ongoing discussion and consultation over the life of the project. I think the ultimate implementation of these PA processes will be smoother if we provide the consulting parties with the courtesy of review and comment on the final draft. If we skip that step, we all will be dealing with a lot of resentment during the implementation, and the constructive results will be diminished.

Accordingly, I recommend once we agree to a final PA, we discuss the most productive and efficient manner of organizing a final review and comment period from the consulting parties, and initiate that process together.

Laura H. Thielen  
Chairperson  
Department of Land and Natural Resources  
(808) 587-0401  
laura.thielen@hawaii.gov

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any review, use, disclosure, or distribution by unintended recipients is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov>

10/12/2010 10:44 AM

To <Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov>

cc <bsemmer@achp.gov>, <Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>, <Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov>, <cvaughn@achp.gov>, <elaine\_jackson-retondo@nps.gov>, <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>, <Frank\_Hays@nps.gov>, <Jeffrey\_Durbin@nps.gov>, <john.muraoka@navy.mil>, <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>, <Renee.Marler@dot.gov>, <Robyn.Sinquefield@dot.gov>, <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>

Subject RE: Honolulu Transit Programmatic Agreement

AR00097026

Laura,

Thank you for your prompt response. My schedule below was not intended to rush your review. It takes time to schedule a conference call and I was trying to get a sense of whether one is needed earlier than later. Your proposed schedule of a request for a conference call by October 22<sup>nd</sup> and final comments one week later on October 29<sup>th</sup> sounds reasonable.

Thank you,

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko  
Federal Transit Administration

**From:** Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov [mailto:Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 12, 2010 4:30 PM

**To:** Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)

**Cc:** bsemmer@achp.gov; Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); cvaughn@achp.gov; elaine\_jackson-retondo@nps.gov; fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov; Frank\_Hays@nps.gov; Jeffrey\_Durbin@nps.gov; john.muraoka@navy.mil; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Marler, Renee (FTA); Siquefield, Robyn (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)

**Subject:** Re: Honolulu Transit Programmatic Agreement

Liz,

I understand the desire to have a quick response. However, it is not possible for SHPO to meet this deadline, and frankly it is a bit unreasonable.

At our last phone conference, over a month ago, we were asked to move quickly. However, it's taken over a month to get us these revisions.

I am in WesPac meetings and Land Board meetings this week. I have no time to go over these amendments with my staff. Furthermore, I'd like to discuss this with ACHP and NPS before responding.

I would ask we have until Friday, October 22 to respond to the request about a conference call. Final comments should be due one week later if no call is needed; if we ask for a call to discuss, we can determine the deadlines at that call.

Laura H. Thielen  
Chairperson  
Department of Land and Natural Resources  
(808) 587-0401  
laura.thielen@hawaii.gov

Confidentiality Notice: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged information. Any review, use, disclosure, or distribution by unintended recipients is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov>

10/12/2010 09:04 AM

To <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>, <Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov>, <Jeffrey\_Durbin@nps.gov>, <elaine\_jackson-retondo@nps.gov>, <Frank\_Hays@nps.gov>, <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>, <Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>, <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>, <Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov>, <Renee.Marler@dot.gov>, <Robyn.Siquefield@dot.gov>, <john.muraoka@navy.mil>, <bsemmer@achp.gov>, <cvaughn@achp.gov>

cc

Subject Honolulu Transit Programmatic Agreement

AR00097027

Good afternoon,

Please find attached two versions (with and without track changes) of the latest version of the programmatic agreement for the Honolulu project. Since our last teleconference, FTA and the City made edits to the PA to reflect our last discussion, added language in Appendix A, and expanded language in the agreement on indirect and cumulative effects of the proposed transit project. Also attached is a letter from the Navy requesting to be an invited signatory to the agreement.

For indirect and cumulative effects, we are recommending the addition of a stipulation suggested by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation providing for consideration of unanticipated but consequential indirect and cumulative effects that may result from implementation of the transit project.

An adverse effect occurs

when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feelings, or association. ... Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.

36 C.F.R. § 800.5(a)(1) (emphasis supplied). Whether indirect or cumulative effects can be considered reasonably foreseeable depends on a number of factors, including the certainty with which predicted effects are likely to occur and the extent to which effects can be described with sufficient specificity to be useful. With respect to the Honolulu High-Capacity Transit Corridor Project, the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation recognized "that specific effects cannot be fully anticipated and described at this time" in its September 10, 2010 letter addressed to Ms. Elizabeth Zelasko. The Advisory Council recommended that a stipulation be included in the programmatic agreement to consider indirect and cumulative effects that may result from implementation of the transit project so "that unanticipated effects are addressed in a timely and inclusive manner and avoidance and mitigation strategies are explored."

We have added this language under Section IX.d proposing a process for identifying and discussing indirect and cumulative effects identified during the construction and initial operation of the proposed project. We are recommending the inclusion of such a stipulation to be effective until 6 months after the transit way is fully constructed.

Please review the changes and let us know **this week** whether you would like to have a conference call to discuss the proposed changes. If not, we would like comments back on the proposed changes by October 22<sup>nd</sup>.

As recommended previously, I will prepare an email to update the consulting parties on the inclusion of the Navy as an invited signatory to the PA.

Thank you,

Liz

**Elizabeth Zelasko**

Federal Transit Administration

1200 New Jersey Ave. SE s E45-340 s Washington, DC 20590

[elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov](mailto:elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov) s (202) 366-0244

[attachment "CNRH 1273.pdf" deleted by Laura H Thielen/DLNR/StateHiUS] [attachment "PA Draft Oct 12-2010.docx" deleted by Laura H Thielen/DLNR/StateHiUS] [attachment "PA Draft Oct 12-2010\_clean.docx" deleted by Laura H Thielen/DLNR/StateHiUS]

AR00097028