
From: Borinsky, Susan (FTA)
To: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: 7/16/2010 3:06:17 AM
Subject: FW: Honolulu FEIS Questions
Attachments: 201007151301391FEIS.pdf

Does this mean that TCC doesn't want an extension? I am inclined toward allowing an additional 20 days, but think we'd need to tell Peter first to see if he's ok with that.

-----Original Message-----

From: Longo, David (FTA)
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2010 7:46 AM
To: Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); Biehl, Scott (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Carter, Dorval (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA)
Cc: Borinsky, Susan (FTA); Day, Elizabeth (FTA); Farber, Brian (FTA); Griffo, Paul (FTA)
Subject: FW: Honolulu FEIS Questions

Redacted

-----Original Message-----

From: Longo, David (FTA)
Sent: Friday, July 16, 2010 7:43 AM
To: 'cliffslater@hawaii.rr.com'
Subject: Honolulu FEIS Questions

Mr. Slater:

In response to your questions raised via email on July 9, 2010, to Mr. Matley, please provide any comments you may have to the Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) in writing. Specifically, as the Abstract to the FEIS states:

"Comments concerning refinement of the design of the Airport Alternative subsequent to the Draft EIS may be addressed to Mr. Matley and Mr. Yoshioka ... during the 30 days following the Federal Register Notice of Availability."

You may also provide comments on the draft Section 106 Programmatic Agreement.

Substantive new comments during the thirty day period will be addressed in the Federal Transit Administration's Record of Decision. Attached is the relevant page of the Abstract from the FEIS.

Dave Longo
Deputy Associate Administrator for
Communications and Congressional Affairs U.S. DOT/Federal Transit Administration 1200 New Jersey Ave., SE, East Wing Washington, DC 20590

Direct: (202) 366-0608
Mobile: (202) 680-9237
Fax: (202) 366-3472

From: Cliff Slater [mailto:cliffslater@hawaii.rr.com]
Sent: Friday, July 09, 2010 12:53 PM

AR00152304

To: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Subject: FEIS Questions

Ted:

Thanks for your telephone response.

Other questions:

1. Why does the Notice of Availability for the Final EIS define the Project as Kapolei to UH Manoa and Waikiki when that was not the route studied?
2. How can we comment on the Section 106 PA when the FEIS Appendix is only a draft?
3. Since the responses to the Draft EIS comments by us and others have been made part of the Final EIS, is the FTA responsible for the accuracy of the City's responses?

Thanks,

Cliff Slater
Ph: 808.524.5619
Cell: 808.285.7799
www.honolulutraffic.com <<http://www.honolulutraffic.com/>>