

HONOLULU HIGH-CAPACITY TRANSIT CORRIDOR PROJECT PMOC COMMENT SHEET	REVIEWER: Robert Merryman, OR Colan
	REVIEW DATE: April 20, 2010
DOCUMENT NAME: Relocation Plan, Segments I, II, III & IV	RESPONDER:
DOCUMENT DATE: March 25, 2010	RESPONSE DATE:

COMMENT NUMBER	SECTION NO.	PAGE NO.	COMMENT TYPE	COMMENT	RESPONSE	ACTION CODE	DISP. CODE
1	General	Comment	E	This is an early draft of the relocation plan, with no interviews. As such, the comments herein should be considered in any revision or update of the plan.			
2	IVA	3	E	How will the multi-generational families be considered for benefits (e.g. multiple beneficiaries or as a single family).			
3	IVA	3	M	How will financial means be assessed if families are relocated separately?			
4	IVB	4	M	Are the large anticipated RHPs practical given that the higher priced replacement may have a higher tax level and insurance cost?			
5	IVB	4	M	Has the project considered other means to provide RH other than Last Resort "super payments"?			
6	IVB	5	M	How does the project propose to address the actual rental payment in terms of the criteria found in 24.402 (b)(2)(i) – the adjustment of rents for tenants who pay little or no rent?			
7	IVC	5	D	The regulations have specific requirements found in 24.205(c)(2), when will these issues be addressed; note that item (C) must be addressed "prior to, or at the time, of the appraisal of the property."			
8	General	Comment	E	Same comments as above relative to segments II, and III regarding business moves			
9	VII	10	M	Other than Last Resort rental payments, estimated at \$45,600, have other options been considered to address housing needs in Segment IV?			

COMMENT TYPE: E = Editorial
D = Discretionary
M = Mandatory

ACTION CODES: A = Initiator agrees and will comply / take action
B = Initiator disagrees for reasons noted; discussion may be required
C = Answer provided; no action needed

DISPOSITION CODE: A = Acceptable
N = Not acceptable
D = Discussion Required