From: Matley, Ted (FTA)
To: Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Barr, James (FTA)
CC: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: 8/27/2009 7:49:22 AM
Subject: RE: FTA response on Outstanding Mitigation

Thanks, any feeling from anyone if this has to be a formal letter or can I just send it in lieu of saying this at a meeting?

From: Ossi, Joseph (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 4:10 PM
To: Matley, Ted (FTA); Barr, James (FTA)
Cc: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Subject: RE: FTA response on Outstanding Mitigation

Ted:
One comment: The park restoration could be mitigation of project impacts on another park – it doesn't have to be the same park, though the two parks should be in the same general area.

Joe Ossi
FTA Office of Planning and Environment
(202) 366-1613

From: Matley, Ted (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2009 6:31 PM
To: Ossi, Joseph (FTA); Barr, James (FTA)
Cc: Bausch, Carl (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Subject: FTA response on Outstanding Mitigation

Joe, Jim,

Attached is a summary statement of the FTA position on the outstanding mitigation issues. Basically I summarized Joe's comments a little and added a little general context. As noted, the consulting parties and ACHP have been after FTA to make a statement. As a meeting wasn't possible until next week, I agreed with the City that it would be best to get the FTA position out there for consumption so it can be discussed at the meeting and/or get them thinking of alternatives before hand. This may make the next meeting a little more productive.

Ok with you guys? Should it be transmitted officially from Leslie or do we need to go that far? Please provide me with any comments. Thanks,

Ted

Ted M Matley
FTA Region IX
201 Mission Street, Suite 1650
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 744-2590
fax (415) 744-2726
ted.matley@dot.gov