From: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov
To: Zaref@pbworld.com; Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov
CC: Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov; Miyamoto, Faith; Souki, Jesse K.; Miyamoto, Faith
Sent: 6/9/2010 12:34:49 PM
Subject: RE: Final EIS Status

I am alright with this language as long as the last sentence stays in the future tense. I would also requested that the phrase in consultation with the invited signatories be added. We would not finalize a PA without coordination with the City and realistically, the NPS.

We will send any comments on the ES or the List of Preparers as soon as we can. We understand that they will not be on the CD.

Thank you.

The draft Section 106 Programmatic Agreement (PA) was developed in consultation among the consulting parties. The Section 106 process identified historic properties potentially affected by the Project, assessed effects, and sought ways to avoid, minimize or mitigate any adverse effects on any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The draft PA records the terms and conditions agreed upon to resolve potential adverse effects and is attached to this Final EIS in Appendix H. The Section 106 signatories (FTA, SHPO, and ACHP) clarified the language in the draft PA, and in May 2010 FTA distributed the draft PA to the Section 106 consulting parties for informational purposes. FTA, SHPO, and ACHP, in coordination with the invited signatories, will finalize this draft PA prior to the ROD. FTA will distribute any executed PA to the Section 106 consulting parties and invite their signature as concurring parties to the PA.

From: Zaref, Amy [mailto:Zaref@pbworld.com]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 3:42 PM
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA)
Cc: VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov; Souki, Jesse K.; Miyamoto, Faith
Subject: Final EIS Status

Hi, Faith is on her way to a meeting this morning and asked me to send you a few items and a status update. Attached is the Executive Summary for the Final EIS. This version has not yet been reviewed by FTA. We have revised this version to include the language from the Final EIS and FTA's review comments. We have not yet received comments from you on the Preface (2nd version sent on Tuesday June 8, 2010) or revisions from you on the list of preparers that Faith sent to you.

In order to provide you with a CD on Thursday morning we will not be able to make changes to the Executive Summary or List of Preparers and include them on the CD.

If you have comments on the Preface we can make those revisions if we receive it in the next hour, otherwise we will make them with your final review comments.

Also attached the Draft PA language that we have included in the version of the Final EIS you will receive on Thursday. This language appears in the Executive Summary, Table 4-1 (summary of environmental impacts, page 4-8) and in Section 4.16 (page 4-186) at the end of the sub-section called "Section 106 Consultation". We will revise this text in all 3 locations based on your comments.

Please feel free to call me if you have questions or need any other information.

Thank you again for your help.

Amy

Amy Zaref, AICP
Parsons Brinckerhoff
801-201-2670
zaref@pbworld.com

From: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov [mailto:elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, June 09, 2010 5:57 AM
To: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov; Zaref, Amy; fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov
Cc: Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov; megan.blum@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Responses to comments

Attached is the version of the Maurai letter that is the final version of the letter. Please note that there is a typo that I did not correct in the very last sentence.

Please see request to revise the ING letter. I think the content is generally alright, he noise discussion just is out of order and confusing. Instead say that there are moderate impacts at certain locations. However, because of mitigation with the walls along the guideway, there would be no noise impacts per FTA guidance.

I think this covers all the letters except for Honolulu Traffic and Stop Rail Now. Renee is reviewing those letters. Please contact her if you have questions.

I am attending a meeting at EPA this afternoon. Please contact Carl Bausch if you have questions on the document or status. He leaves the office around 4 pm EST. I will be back in the office around 5:30 pm.

Thank you,

Liz

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, June 08, 2010 7:02 PM
To: 'Zaref, Amy'; Miyamoto, Faith
Cc: Bausch, Carl (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Blum, Megan (FTA)
Subject: Responses to comments

We still owe you Honolulu Traffic, Stop Rail Now, and I need to take a closer look at the Murai letters and these letters:

```
We are awaiting your response on the following letters:
4-15 Ing (sent for your second review of our edits on 6/3) Native
Hawaiian Legal Corp (sent for your first of our edits on 5/26) AIA Char
(sent for your second review of our edits on 6/3) E Noa (sent for your
second review of our edits on 6/3)
Genadio2 (sent for your second review of our edits on 6/3) League of
Women Voters (sent for your second review of our edits on 6/3)
```

I will get them to you tomorrow morning first thing. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thanks!

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko
Federal Transit Administration
Office of Planning and Environment
elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov
(202) 366-0244

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the

sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.