From: Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov
To: Miyamoto, Faith
Sent: 8/4/2010 7:45:51 AM
Subject: RE: Honolulu PA Revisions and Written Responses

works for me.

pua
-----"Miyamoto, Faith" <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov> wrote: -----

To: <elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov>, <Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov>, <Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov>, <Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov>, <bsemmer@achp.gov>, <cvaughn@achp.gov>, <jeffrey_durbin@nps.gov>, <elaine_jackson-retondo@nps.gov>, <Frank_Hays@nps.gov>, <annette.L.Kahalewai@hawaii.gov>
From: "Miyamoto, Faith" <fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov>
Date: 08/03/2010 09:47AM
cc: <Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov>, <Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov>, <Ted.Matley@dot.gov>, <Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov>, <Renee.Marler@dot.gov>, <Robyn.Sinquefield@dot.gov>
Subject: RE: Honolulu PA Revisions and Written Responses

Hi Everyone –

I received some responses regarding availability next week to participate in a teleconference to discuss the Honolulu PA. Right now, one possible time would be on Monday, August 9th at 9:00 a.m. (Hawaii), noon (Pacific), and 3:00 p.m. (Eastern). Please let me know how this time works with your schedule.

If this does not work, then the next earliest time would be August 17th. Please send me your availability for the remainder of the month.

Thanks for your assistance.

Faith

From: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov [mailto:elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov]
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2010 12:10 PM
To: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov; Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov; bsemmer@achp.gov; cvaughn@achp.gov; jeffrey_durbin@nps.gov; elaine_jackson-retondo@nps.gov; Frank_Hays@nps.gov; Miyamoto, Faith; annette.L.Kahalewai@hawaii.gov
Cc: Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov; Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov; Robyn.Sinquefield@dot.gov
Subject: RE: Honolulu PA Revisions and Written Responses

Good afternoon,

We would like to reserve a time during the week of August 9th to review the materials I shared this past Monday. Because I

am going to be out of the office next week, I have asked Faith to follow up with you to schedule a time.

My preference would be to have the call toward the end of the week. If on Monday the 9th or Tuesday the 10th we hear from you that it would be best not to have a meeting and correspond in writing only then we would cancel the meeting. We would like, at a minimum, to receive some preliminary comments during that week.

If you have any questions while I am out next week, please contact Carl Bausch at 202-366-1626.

Thank you,

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko

Federal Transit Administration

From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Sent: Monday, July 26, 2010 9:25 AM
To: 'Laura.Thielen@hawaii.gov'; Pua.Aiu@hawaii.gov; 'Nancy.A.McMahon@hawaii.gov'; 'Blythe Semmer'; 'cvaughn@achp.gov'; 'jeffrey_durbin@nps.gov'; 'elaine_jackson-retondo@nps.gov'; 'Frank_Hays@nps.gov'; 'Miyamoto, Faith'
Cc: Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Matley, Ted (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA)
Subject: Honolulu PA Revisions and Written Responses

Good morning,

Please see attached a revised draft programmatic agreement and responses to concerns raised in a letter by Laura Theilen prior to our last call.

I would like to highlight a few of the changes in the draft PA text. A number of editorial changes and clarifications were made throughout the document. FTA is also proposing to make a few substantive changes to the description of the proposed project timeline and the City's status as a invited signatory. FTA feels that since the City will be the actor in most of the stipulations of the PA that they should be listed as a signatory on the document. This may have been a topic discussed in the past, however, our attorneys would like to request the change.

With the proposed approach on the contract assistance to reduce the workload on the SHPD's office, as well as other signatories, we followed the MBTA/USACE/ACHP agreement. However, the approach was tailored for the Honolulu agreement as structured to take advantage of established consulting party status meetings and timelines. For the most part, the main contractor assistance would be with helping the SHPO, FTA, NPS and other parties to review work products within the first third of the established review timeframes. We would receive comments from an independent contractor that would help us focus in on trouble areas of the work product. We can choose to accept some or all the independent contractor's comments or supplement the independent contractor's comments.

I am proposing that for some very sensitive, complicated work products, we can request that the independent contractor reviews and provides comments prior to submission to the signatories and/or consulting parties. However, I do not think this is necessary for all work products in the agreement. Also, some assistance is built in on tracking the City's progress with fulfilling the agreement.

We have also made edits to the PA regarding post-review discoveries and on the City TOD and historic district ordinances. Please review. Over the next few weeks while individuals, including myself, will be out of the office, I think the best approach would be to communicate over email. I would encourage you to review the attached documents in track changes, comment, and then share with the group.

Please note that I am out of the office most of this week and all of next week. I will be responding to emails in the evening.

Thank you,

Liz

Elizabeth Zelasko

Federal Transit Administration

1200 New Jersey Ave. SE s E45-340 s Washington, DC 20590

elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov s (202) 366-0244