From: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
To: 'Miyamoto, Faith'; Judy Aranda
CC: Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: 1/6/2011 5:59:40 AM
Subject: RE: Honolulu Rail Project Final formatted PA

The Navy is named because they would need to be involved in any data recovery plans on their property. The reference to the federal regulation regarding curation really only applies to federal lands. The only federal lands that the Project would be substantially crossing are DOD lands. That is why the language does not say "comply with" but more along the lines of consistent with these federal requirements.

I have passed off the programmatic agreement to Region 9 since they will need to conduct their reviews and signature process for executing the agreement. Please check with them before starting to get signatures on the agreement. Keep me in the loop.

I would be available for a meeting this afternoon. I have included Ted and Ray to check their availability.

Elizabeth Zelasko
Federal Transit Administration

-----Original Message-----
From: Miyamoto, Faith [mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov]
Sent: Thursday, January 06, 2011 6:06 AM
To: Judy Aranda
Cc: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA)
Subject: FW: Honolulu Rail Project Final formatted PA

Hi Judy -

Sorry, I sent your copy to pbworld.com.

Faith

From: Miyamoto, Faith
Sent: Thu 1/6/2011 1:04 AM
To: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov
Cc: Barbara Gilliland (Gilliland@pbworld.com); Zaref, Amy; aranda@pbworld.com; Souki, Jesse K.; Hogan, Steve
Subject: Honolulu Rail Project Final formatted PA

Hi Liz -

I had the PA formatted and put in final form to get it ready for signature. As we were going through the changes, we had a question regarding Stipulation III.E.2.d. relating to data recovery plans. This stipulation states that data recovery plans shall be submitted by the City, in consultation with FTA and the Navy, to the SHPD. Why is the Navy named? Is it because the artifacts may be found on Navy property? Should it be more properly that the data recovery plans shall be submitted by the City, in consultation with FTA and the owner of the property on which the artifacts are found? My understanding was that the artifacts found are the property of the land owner. Please let me know if I am all "screwed up."

We are continuing to refine the APE maps. We were able to make the specific changes that you cited in your previous message, but have not been able to complete and check the upgraded version of the maps. Are you available for a call to discuss the status of the mapping today? I will be out of the office from about 2:00 p.m. Eastern to about 4:00 p.m. Eastern. If I am not available, please have this discussion with Judy Aranda. Judy can get the PB folks on the phone to make certain that the maps that are being finalized meet your expectations. Judy's

number is 808-768-6120.

Once we have the PA finalized, I was planning to commence getting the Honolulu signatures; starting with the DTS interim director, followed by the Navy, and then the SHPO. After that, I guess it would go to the NPS and then FTA Region IX, ending up with ACHP. Please let me know if this is an acceptable plan.

Looking forward to wrapping this up.

Faith

P.S. I just noticed that we need to change the header date to 2011.

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.