From: Zaref, Amy
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Souki, Jesse K.
CC: Miyamoto, Faith; Hogan, Steven; Foell, Stephanie; Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Spurgeon, Lawrence
Sent: 5/12/2010 11:41:00 AM
Subject: HHCTCP -Responses to NPS

Liz, Jesse asked that I respond to your question. The project will physically incorporate property from a parcel that contains two buildings that are contributing elements to the Chinatown Historic District.

Amy

Amy Zaref, AICP
Parsons Brinckerhoff
801-201-2670
zaref@pbworld.com

From: elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov [mailto:elizabeth.zelasko@dot.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 10:36 AM
To: Souki, Jesse K.; Zaref, Amy
Cc: Miyamoto, Faith; Hogan, Steven; Foell, Stephanie; Ted.Matley@dot.gov; Raymond.Sukys@dot.gov; Renee.Marler@dot.gov; Christopher.VanWyk@dot.gov; Carl.Bausch1111@dot.gov; NancyEllen.Zusman@dot.gov; Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Spurgeon, Lawrence
Subject: RE: HHCTCP -Responses to NPS

Jesse,

If the project will physically incorporate a portion of the property of the contributing buildings, then we should continue with the direct use analysis.

If we were not physically incorporating property of the contributing buildings into the project, then we would have requested that a constructive use analysis be performed for the Chinatown Historic District.

Please confirm that the first situation is the case.

Thank you,

Liz

From: Souki, Jesse K. [mailto:jsouki@honolulu.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 4:14 PM
To: Zelasko, Elizabeth (FTA); Zaref, Amy
Cc: Miyamoto, Faith; Hogan, Steven; Foell, Stephanie; Matley, Ted (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Marler, Renee (FTA); VanWyk, Christopher (FTA); Bausch, Carl (FTA); Zusman, Nancy-Ellen (FTA); Aranda@infraconsultllc.com; Spurgeon, Lawrence
Subject: RE: HHCTCP -Responses to NPS

Elizabeth,

In our last phone call regarding Section 4(f) impacts on the Chinatown Historic District, Chris suggested that we could use a "no use" analysis for the Chinatown historic district. At the time, you were told that there was no use of historic property in the Chinatown historic district. However, our planner followed up and there are contributing buildings on the parking lot property. The other reason we used the current Section 4(f) approach was based on the adverse effects related to design, feeling, and association.

Given this information, do you still want us to change our direct use analysis to a no use analysis in the EIS and NPS response

letter?

Sincerely,
Jesse K. Souki
Deputy Corporation Counsel
City and County of Honolulu
Tel.: (808) 768-5135

This e-mail is intended solely for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **confidential and/or privileged information**. Any review, dissemination, copying, printing or other use of this e-mail by persons or entities other than the addressee is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please contact the sender immediately and delete the material from any computer.

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.