From: Simon Zweighaft
To: Tahir, Nadeem <FTA>
CC: Toru Hamayasu; Kurio, Phyllis
Sent: 3/2/2009 4:46:33 PM
Subject: Response Codes for draft Jacobs Spot Report.
Attachments: Jacobs Spot Report Dispositions.xls

Hi Nadeem:

It's good to have you back. Attached is a copy of the comment form on the draft Jacobs Spot Report. I've color-coded each of their responses with our reaction. In summary for the 170 comments we found the following:

A, Accept (green)—88 responses
D, Discussion Required (yellow)—54 responses
N, Not Acceptable (red)—28 responses

Of the 28 Not Acceptable responses, 23 of them pertain to their response to comment #20 which was then repeated 22 times on the form. This pertains to our comments about their suggestions related to our vehicle fleet size. Their response was "It is recommended that the City submit a service plan that incorporates all available information for PMOC review and use." It is our position that we have provided Jacobs with adequate information on our planned schedule and fleet size, up to and including Tom Parkinson's review of their TCRP #100 analysis of our fleet size requirements. We have adequately demonstrated the reasonableness of our assumptions about fleet size for the purpose of entering preliminary engineering and that we should not have to do another comprehensive report on the subject

Having now completed our review of the Jacobs responses, we request a meeting with Jacobs to go over the 82 yellow and red responses. For your convenience we are prepared to go to San Francisco to cover these items.

Regards,

Simon