

Regarding Specific comments to Chapter 2:  
Clarification needed on 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> comments.

We are confused as to what is being requested. The AA Process was used to complete NEPA screening. The original Table 2-1 is now Table 2-2 as prior comment requested the screening process summary table be brought forward (original Table 2-2, now Table 2-1). Table 2-3 was added to specifically compare the alternatives included in the AA on environmental topics. The Pearl Harbor Tunnel and Waterborne Ferry Service Alternatives that were dropped prior to the AA were dropped for other than Environmental reasons.

The Alternatives Analysis evaluated 4 alternatives. The results for those alternatives were included in Table's 2-2 and 2-3 (2 with sub-alternatives, hence range of values provided in Tables 2-2 and 2-3). Table 2-3 will be expanded to include additional topics that were considered in the AA, but that were not specifically included in the effectiveness comparison.

Table 2-3 was intended to answer the 2<sup>nd</sup> question that references Page 2-2. We need clarification on what is being requested. We can do several things:

- 1) Re-name Table's 2-2 and 2-3 to not reference the AA, but they do reflect the Alternatives considered in the Alternatives Analysis. Something along the lines of *Summary Environmental Screening of Alternatives*
- 2) Add both Managed Lane sub-alternatives and all 4 Fixed Guideway sub-alternatives to the tables explicitly, rather than providing ranges of results.
- 3) Add to Table 2-3 data on some or all of the following: community facilities, parklands, air quality (identical pattern to energy), water resources affected, natural resources (street trees and bird habitat), hazardous materials, cultural practices and resources, and archaeology and burials (ranked from low potential to high potential).
- 4) Some other approach.