
From: Ryan, James (FTA)
To: Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Sent: 1/21/2010 5:28:32 AM
Subject: FW: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan
Attachments: HHCTCP - CASH FLOWS AUG09.xls; HHCTCP_PE_11AUG2009_v2.pdf

In response to your last message clarifying the date on the financial plan that Ray has just distributed, here's the message and attachments that I sent last week to all y'all in response to a request from Ray (further down this e-mail chain) for the latest financial plan. I explained in the message that, to my knowledge, the August version is still the latest version. In any case, it's the version that Ben reviewed and the purpose of today's call is to go over Ben's review comments.

By way of explanation, "all y'all" is the southern version of the second-person plural pronoun – sort of. Technically, I've been told by real southerners, "y'all" refers to one or two people. "All y'all" means three or more people. We've lived in Virginia for 34 years but we're still regarded as Yankees by the real southerners who patiently explain things like this to us.

From: Ryan, James (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2010 1:31 PM
To: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Subject: RE: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

Gentlemen:

Attached is the August version of the financial plan (in pdf format). Also attached is the cash-flow spreadsheet file (in Excel) that has some slight differences from the cash-flow sheets that are in the pdf. Ben used numbers from the Excel file.

The City prepared this version last summer in response to our requirement that the project capital cost must include the \$116 million addition recommended by the PMOC review. It superseded the May version that was part of the PE-request package. Ben Porter reviewed this version and based his comments on that review. So far as I know, this is still the current public version. Whatever updates the City might (or might not) have for internal use, I don't know. So this is the version we'll focus on during the conference call.

Jim

From: Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2010 1:07 PM
To: Ryan, James (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Subject: Re: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

Good, and their input you note below including if you could assure we have the latest financial plan for us to reference during our discussions would help facilitate the call - full steam ahead.

From: Ryan, James (FTA)
To: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Sent: Wed Jan 13 12:41:20 2010
Subject: RE: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

I agree that we need Ben's full attention. And I think that we'll have it for the hour we've proposed. Ben agreed to participate on the condition that the call would not be a 2-hour marathon; he's out of funds and so is a volunteer on this call. He has confirmed that 1-2pm is OK for him. And I've asked PB for both an agenda and a list of participants so that we don't waste any time getting the discussion underway.

So, unless there are strenuous objections, I think that we should go with 1pm PST.

Thanks.
Jim

From: Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2010 12:18 PM
To: Ryan, James (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Subject: Re: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

To get the most out of this call, we really need Ben's undivided attention for the whole call, so I'd be an advocate to move it up a bit if he has other conflicts.

From: Ryan, James (FTA)
To: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Sent: Wed Jan 13 12:09:56 2010
Subject: RE: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

Heard back from PB. We've agreed to 1pm to 2pm PST – 11am in Honolulu and 4pm in DC – subject to any adjustments needed to accommodate your schedules.

Ben is not available after 2:30pm PST on Thursday, so any adjustment would have to be earlier in the day – or Friday.

I will send out an invitation shortly.

From: Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2010 10:42 AM
To: Ryan, James (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Subject: Re: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

Hi Jim. I should be back in the office tomorrow. Is that 10 AM Honolulu time which would make it 3 PM EST?

From: Ryan, James (FTA)
To: Sukys, Raymond (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Sent: Wed Jan 13 09:56:45 2010
Subject: RE: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

We're tentatively scheduled for 10am to 11am on Thursday, December 21. Ben Porter has confirmed but I haven't heard back from PB staff who are coordinating this for the City. I will send out an Outlook invitation, presumably later today, when we get confirmation from PB and the City.

From: Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2010 7:43 PM
To: Ryan, James (FTA); Carranza, Edward (FTA)
Cc: Rogers, Leslie (FTA)
Subject: RE: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

It can work for me. Do you have the latest version of their financial plan?

From: Ryan, James (FTA)
Sent: Tuesday, January 12, 2010 4:39 PM
To: Carranza, Edward (FTA); Sukys, Raymond (FTA)
Subject: FW: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

Gentlemen:

During today's progress meeting, the Honolulu folks requested a conference call on the financial review that Ben Porter did for entry of the project into PE. The follow-up is below.

Other commitments narrow the available times to anytime Thursday, the 21st, so long as the meeting ends before 2:30pm PST, or anytime Friday. We're thinking 60-90 minutes since Ben is out of funds for the entry-to-PE review. (I will check tomorrow on additional funds that we might have for FMO support in the near term.)

How do those times work for you and/or anyone else from your office who wants to participate?

Thanks.
Jim

From: Ben Porter [mailto:bporter@porter-inc.com]
Sent: Tue 1/12/2010 7:29 PM
To: Ryan, James (FTA)
Subject: Re: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan

I could do it Thursday if we finish before 2:30p PST, or anytime Friday (within reason).

On Jan 12, 2010, at 4:22 PM, <James.Ryan@dot.gov> <James.Ryan@dot.gov> wrote:

> Ben:
>
> The Honolulu folks suggest the later part of next week for the conference call. I'm tied up Wednesday, the 20th. How about Thursday or Friday?
>
> Thanks.
> Jim
>
>
> _____
>
> From: Mitchell, Jennifer [mailto: MitchellJe@pbworld.com]
> Sent: Tue 1/12/2010 6:31 PM
> To: Ryan, James (FTA)
> Cc: Hogan, Steven; Scheibe, Mark
> Subject: Conference Call to Discuss Honolulu financial plan
>
>
> Jim - I understand from Mark Scheibe that we're trying to set up a call next week with Ben Porter to discuss the financial plan. What is your schedule like on Wednesday, Thursday or Friday afternoons? Ben said his schedule is relatively open. Let me know and we'll get a call set up. Thanks!
>
>
>
> Jennifer
>
>
>
> Jennifer Mitchell
>
> Principal Consultant, Strategic Consulting
>
> Parsons Brinckerhoff
>
> (o): 202-661-5316
>
> (m): 571-230-0886

>
> mitchellje@pbworld.com

>
>
>
>
>
>

> NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain confidential information for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies.

>