
From: Barr, James <FTA>
To: Matley, Ted <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>
CC: Bausch, Carl <FTA>
Sent: 10/7/2008 5:03:05 AM
Subject: RE: Hawaii SHPD Responses on Honolulu Project

Ted, Ray:
My response.

Jim, Ray: SHPO info from Honolulu. Any comments on their questions?

The letter regarding eligibility is pretty straightforward. We intend to add the properties suggested by SHPD to the list of those considered potentially eligible. Would the SHPD letter and our DEIS revision to reflect their suggestions be sufficient to document SHPD concurrence on eligibility?

Yes.
Add the letters to the Appendix.
Include the Ewa Field attack area in the APE.

We would like some guidance regarding the comments that we received in the letter regarding the Historic Resources Technical Report. As we are in the final stages of turning in Chapters of the DEIS to you, would it be possible to schedule a conference call ASAP to discuss how we envision handling the comments?

We have not reviewed the technical report.

The SHPD is concerned with indirect impacts. Consistent with FTA's earlier comment on Constructive Use, the doc. (see table 5-2) only considers "direct use" or "demolition" as an adverse effect. A more nuanced approach is necessary.

- Include an "Indirect Effects" sub-chapter in the Section 4(f) evaluation. Include as possible indirect effects the concerns of the Historic Hawaii Foundation, and state that FTA continues to examine the APE for possible indirect impacts of the project on historic resources.
- Invite the Historic Hawaii Foundation and the SHPD to participate in the design review if you have not already done so.
- Inform the SHPD that the ACHP is not formerly participating in the process. The FTA has determined that the participants can effectively negotiate the protection of historic properties, and thus far there are no disagreements that would warrant ACHP participation based on 36 CFR 800, Appendix A.
- (Did we send ACHP a pro-forma letter requesting participation?)
- Invite NTHP and Hawaii's Thousand Friends to participate in the design review.
- Include adverse impacts on Chinatown as a result of the project. Propose updating the NRHP nomination mitigation mentioned by the SHPD as appropriate mitigation.
- Include the direct, indirect and cumulative impact mitigation proposed Historic Hawaii Foundation per SHPD's request.
- Write a response to the September 26 and October 3 letters to the SHPD.

From: Matley, Ted <FTA>
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2008 7:05 PM
To: Barr, James <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>
Subject: FW: Hawaii SHPD Responses on Honolulu Project

Jim, Ray: SHPO info from Honolulu. Any comments on their questions?

From: Miyamoto, Faith [mailto:fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov]
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2008 2:04 PM
To: Matley, Ted <FTA>; Sukys, Raymond <FTA>
Cc: Spurgeon, Lawrence; Susan Robbins
Subject: Hawaii SHPD Responses on Honolulu Project

Hi Ted and Ray –

Transmitting copies of the two letters that the SHPD sent us by email on Friday.

The letter regarding eligibility is pretty straightforward. We intend to add the properties suggested by SHPD to the list of those considered potentially eligible. Would the SHPD letter and our DEIS revision to reflect their suggestions be sufficient to document SHPD concurrence on eligibility?

We would like some guidance regarding the comments that we received in the letter regarding the Historic Resources Technical Report. As we are in the final stages of turning in Chapters of the DEIS to you, would it be possible to schedule a conference call ASAP to discuss how we envision handling the comments?

Thanks as always for your help.

Faith Miyamoto
Department of Transportation Services
City & County of Honolulu
(808) 768-8350
fmiyamoto@honolulu.gov